# HRA Sazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY साप्ताहिक ' WEEKT.Y

ਜਂ, 44] No. 44] नई दिल्ली, अक्तूबर 26 —नवम्बर 1, 2008, शनिवार/कार्तिक 4—कार्तिक 10, 1930

NEW DELHI, OCTOBER 26-NOVEMBER 1, 2008, SATURDAY/KARTIKA 4-KARTIKA 10, 1930

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह पृथक संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

> भाग 11—खण्ड 3 — उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों ( रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर ) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (Other than the Ministry of Defence)

## विधि और न्याय मंत्रालय

(विधि कार्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 20 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 2976.—केंद्रीय सरकार दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा(1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, सुन्नी पूर्णिमा एच.कंडारिया, अधिवक्ता को, मुंबई स्थित उच्च न्यायालय में भारत संघ या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग या कार्यालय द्वारा या उसके विरूद्ध सभी दांडिक मामलों का जिसके अंतंगत सभी दांडिक रिट याचिकाएं, दांडिक अपीलं, दांडिक पुनरीक्षण, दांडिक निर्देश और दांडिक आवेदन भी हैं, संचालन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए इस शर्त के अधीन रहते हुए, कि सुन्नी पूर्णिमा एच. कंडारिया, अधिवक्ता अपर लोक अभियोजक के रूप में अपनी पुनःनियुक्ति को अवधि के दौरान भारत संघ या केन्द्रीय सरकार के किसी विभाग या कार्यालय के विरूद्ध उपर निर्देशित किसी दांडिक मामले में मुंबई स्थित उच्च न्यायालय में उपसंजात नहीं होंगी, तुरंत

प्रभाव से एक वर्ष की अवधि के लिए या अगले आदेश होने तक, इनमें से जो भी पूर्वतर हो, अपर लोक अभियोजक के रूप में पुनःनियुक्त करती है।

[ फा. सं. 23(2)/2008-न्यायिक ]

एम. ए. खान यूसुफी, संयुक्त सचिव और विधि सलाहकार MINISTRY OF LAWAND JUSTICE

(Department of Legal Affairs)

New Delhi, the 20th October, 2008

S.O. 2976.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby re-appoints Ms. Poornima H. Kantharia, Advocate as Additional Public Prosecutor, for the purpose of conducting all criminal cases including criminal writ petitions, criminal appeals, criminal revisions, criminal references and criminal applications by or against the Union of India or any Department or Office of the Central Government in the High

Court of Judicature at Mumbai, with immediate effect, for a period of one-year or until further orders, whichever is earlier, subject to the condition that Ms. Poornima H. Kantharia, Advocate shall not appear against the Union of India or any Department or Office of the Central Government in any criminal case referred to above in the High Court of Judicature at Mumbai during the period of her re-appointment.

[F. No. 23(2)/2008-3.57 ]

M.A. KHAN YUSUFI, Jr. Secy. & Legal Advasca

## कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत पेंशन मंत्रालय (कार्मिक और प्रतिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 21 अन्तुबर, 2008

का.आ. 2977, कोंदीय सरकार एतर्द्वास दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिवियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिवयम स. 25) की धात 6 के साथ पांतर धार 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा एटन शास्त्रार्थ का प्रयोग करते हुए, असम राज्य सरकार, राज्यैकिक (ए) इस्पान, दिसपुर की अधिसूचना सं. पीएलए, 486/2007/69 दिनोक 18 जुल्लई, 2008 द्वारा डाक्टर पी.धी.सम तत्कालीन कार्यपालक निदशक, एकसीआई, पूर्वोक्तर क्षेत्र पुलाहारी, असम के उपहरणावलात आमहाण के संबंध में कामरूप जिले के पल्टन बाजार, पुलिस स्वेशन में पारतीय दंड संवितर (860) (1860) का अधिनियम सं. ३०५ मी प्रया अधित अधना संस्कृत प्रयत्नी, दुम्बेरणों और एक्ट्रयं सं एक अस संस्वाधत अधना संस्कृत प्रयत्नी, दुम्बेरणों और एक्ट्रयं सं एक अस संस्वाधत अधना संस्कृत प्रयत्नी, दुम्बेरणों और एक्ट्रयं सं एक अस संस्वाधत अधना अपहाम सं अध्या उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्धुक दिन्ही है से अपहास अधना अपहाम का अन्वेषण करने के लिए कि विशेष संस्वाध प्रयत्नी की शत्वेषण करने की लिए कि विशेष संस्वाध प्रयत्नी की शत्वेषण करने की लिए कि विशेष संस्वाध प्रयत्नी की शत्वेषण करने की लिए कि विशेष संस्वाध प्रयत्नी की शत्वेषण करने की लिए कि विशेष संस्वाध सम्मूणी असम राज्य पर कारती है।

[Rt 228/47/2907 Published 31]

# MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GREADANCES AMBRENSIONS

(Department of Eursonael and Transing)

New Polle, the 21st October, 2008

S.O. 2977. In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with section 6 of the Delhi Special Ponce 1 stablishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 20) 1946), the Central Government with the concent of the Section Of Assam, Political (A) Department of Assam, Political (A) Department of Oscar vide Notification No. PLA, 486/2007/69 dates 1 so long. 2008 hereby extends the powers and jurisduction of the member of the Delhi Special Police fistablishment of the whole of the State of Assam for investigation of the offences regulated.

365 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860) relating to kidnapping/nbduction of Dr. P.C. Ram, the then Executive Director, FCI, N.E. Region, Guwahati, Assam and any other offence or offences, attempts abetments and conspiracy in relation to or in connection with above mentioned offences and any other offence/offences committed in the course of same transaction arising out of the same facts and simultaneously launching of criminal prosecution against the guilty persons.

[No. 228/47/2007-AVD-II]

CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secv.

नई दिल्ली, ३६ अनतुवर, 2008

का.आ, 2978.—केंद्रीय भरकार एतद्द्वास दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम स्टे.?) की धारा 24 की उप-धारा (४) द्वारा प्रदेत सिवियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निम्निलिखित अधिवक्ताओं को धारवाड़, मंगलौर और गुलबर्ग, कर्नाटक राज्य में केंद्रीय अन्वेषण न्यूरी द्वारा उन्हें सौंपे गए परीक्षण स्वाधालयों और अपीलों और इनसे उद्भृत अपीली न्यायालयों में दिल्ली निशंप पुलिस स्थापना (के.अ. ब्यूरी) द्वारा संस्थित मामलों का भागान्यन करने के लिए विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती हैं :-

क्रम	सं. सर्वश्री	निर्योदन मामला <b>स</b> .	न्यायालय का नाम
l.	के.एल.पाटिल	आस्मी (1901) 18701, 02704)	विशेष जब, धारवाड्
		26/03 और 04/04	
2	बी.बिट्उल राय	आरसी +6/2002	मुख्य न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट, मंगलौर
ì.	श्री अनुराधा एम देसाई	आगसी : %2002	प्रधान सिविल जज, मुलबर्ग

ं सं *?25/31/2*008-ए वी डी-[[]]

चंद्र प्रकाश, अबर समिव

New Delhi, the Hidd October, 2008

S.O. 2978.—In excress of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints following advocates as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting prosecution in following cases instituted by the Delhi Spec all Public Establishment (CBI) in the State of Karnataka in Dharwad, Mangalore and Gulbarga as entrusted to them by the Central Bure investigation in the trial coarts and appeals/revisions or their matters arising our time that courts established.

SL No	S/Shri	Regular Case Nos.	Name of the Court
ī.	K.L. Padil	RCs 31/01,18/01 02/04,26/03, & 04/04.	Special Judge Dharwad
2.	B. Vittal Rai	RC.06/2002	Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mangalore
3.	Smt. Anuradha M. Desai	RC.25/2002	Principal Civil Judge, Gulbarga

[No. 225/31/2008-AVD-]]j

CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy. नई दिल्ली, 23 अक्तुबर, 2008

का. अत. 2979.— केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दण्ड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की उप-धारा (8) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग. करते हुए श्री नदीम अख्तर खान, अधिवक्ता, कलकत्ता उच्च न्यायालय की बैंच पोर्ट ब्लेयर में केंद्रीय अन्वेषण क्यूरो के रिटेनर काउंसेल को कलकत्ता उच्च न्यायालय, पोर्ट ब्लेयर बैंच में विचारण न्यायालयों में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा संस्थित और केंद्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो द्वारा उन्हें सौंपे गए मामलों के अभियोजन और पुनरोक्षण अथवा अपील न्यायालयों में इन मामलों से उद्भूत अन्य विषयों का संचालन करने के लिए विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं 225/32/2008-एवोडी-][]

चंद्र प्रकाश, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd October, 2008

S.O. 2979.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of sub-section (8) of section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Nadeem Akhtar Khan, Advocate, a Retainer Counsel of Central Bureau of Investigation, in the Port Blair Bench of Calcutta High Court at Port Blair as Special Public Prosecutor, for conducting prosecution, appeals, revision or other matters arising out of the cases investigated by the Delhi Special Police Establishment in the Port Blair Bench of Calcutta High Court at Port Blair.

[No. 225/32/2008-AVD-II]

CHANDRA PRAKASH, Under Secy.

#### वित्त पंत्रालय

(विसीय सेवाएं विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 24 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 2980,—रुग्ण औद्योगिक कंपनी (विशेष उपबंध) अधिनियम, 1985 की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (5) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वास, श्रीमती बीनू सेन को बाइफर में वरिष्ठतम सदस्य होने के कारण दिनांक 31-10-2008 से अगले आदेश होने तक बाइफर के अध्यक्ष के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

> [फा. सं. 20(1)/2004-आईएक-!!] े जे. एस. फीयाट, अवर सचिव

#### MINISTRY OF FINANCE

## (Department of Financial Services)

New Delhi, the 24th October, 2008

S.O. 2980.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 6 of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, the Central Government hereby authorises Smt. Binoo Sen, being the senior most Member in BIFR to act as Chairman, BIFR from 31-10-2008, until further orders.

[F. No. 20(1)/2004-IF-II]
J. S. PHAUGAT, Under Secy.

## पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय

## आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 24 अक्तूबर, 2008

जा.3व. 2981.—पर्यावरण (संरक्षण) अधिनियम, 1986 (1986 का 29) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) और (3) में प्रदत्त सकितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्वारा भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण के भाग-∐, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (ii) में दिनांक 29 मई, 2001 को प्रकाशित भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय के दिनांक 29 मई, 2001 के आदेश संख्या का.आ.583 (अ) में निम्नितिखत संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त आदेश के प्रारंभिक पैराग्राफ में 🛶

- (i) क्रम सं. 3 और 12 के स्थान पर निष्नलिखित क्रम . संख्याएं प्रतिस्थापित को जाएंगी, अर्थात्:—
  - "3. सदस्य (नदी प्रबंधन), केन्द्रीय जल आयोग:
  - 12 संयुक्त सचिव, जल संसाधन मंत्रालय ।"
  - (ii) क्रम सं. 8,9,10,11, और 12 को क्रम सं. 10,11, 12, 13 और 14 के रूप में पुन:क्रमांकित किया जाएगा और क्रम संख्याओं को इस प्रकार पुन:क्रमांकित करने से पूर्व क्रम सं. 7 के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्थापित जाएगा, अर्थान्:-
    - "8 संयुक्त सचिव (भूमि संसाधन विभाग), ग्रामीण विकास मंत्रालय;
    - संयुक्त सचिव (हाइड्रो परियोजनाएं), विद्युत मंत्रालय;''

[फा. सं. जे-150]1/8/2000-एन आर सी डी-[[] आर. के. वैश, संयुक्त सचिव मोट:- मुख्य आदेश भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण सं. का.आ.583(अ). दिनांक 29 मई, 2003 के तहत प्रकाशित किया गया था और जिसे बाद में का.आ.सं. 635(अ), दिनांक 26 मई, 2004, का.आ. 728(अ). दिनांक 25 मई, 2005 और का.आ.751(अ), दिनांक 26 अप्रैल. 2007 के तहत संशोधित किया गया था।

## MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS ORDER

New Delhi, the 24th October, 2008

S.O. 2981.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (29 of 1986), Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Environment and Forests, number S.O. 583(E), dated the 29th May, 2001 published in Part II, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii) of the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, detent the 22nd June, 2001, namely

In the said Order, in the opening paragraph.—

- (i) for serial numbers 3 and 12, the following serial numbers shall be substituted, namely:—
  - Member (River Management), Central Water Commission;
  - 12. Joint Secretary, Ministry of Water Resources".
- (ii) the serial numbers 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 shall be renumbered as serial numbers 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 and before the serial numbers are so numbered, the following shall be inserted after serial number 7, namely:—
  - "8 Joint Secretary (Department of Land Resources). Ministry of Rural Development;
  - Joint Secretary (Hydro Projects), Ministry of Power?"

[F. No. J-1501]/8/2000-NRCD-III] R.K. VAISH, Jt. Seev.

Note: The Principal Order was published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary vide number SO 583(E), dated the 29th May, 2001 and subsequently amended vide SO 635(E), dated the 26th May, 2004, S.O.No, 728(E), dated the 25th May, 2005 and S.O. 751(E), dated the 26th April, 2007

## कोयला मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 22 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 2982. —केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20), जिसे इसमें इसके परचात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है, की धारा 19 द्वारा परत शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निरेश देती है कि चूंकि वह कोपता धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन और विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) को लागू कर रही है तथा विद्युत मंत्रालय की ओर से वशवर्ती कोयला खनन प्रयोजनों के लिए विद्युत मंत्रालय के अधीन सार्वजनिक केंद्र उपक्रम अर्थात् नेशनल थर्मल पावर कारपोरेशन लि.(एनटीपीसी जि.) को आर्थिटत कोयला खनाकों की भूमि का अर्जन कर रही है, इस्स्थार

लि.(एनटोपीसी लि.) को आविंदित कोदला ब्लाकों के संबंध में उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन अजित भूमि देतु भू स्वामियों अथवा भू-वौचतों को प्रतिकर की अदायमी के सींवेद्धण के लिए उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 17 के अधीन उसके द्वारा प्रयोग में लाई जा सकेगी या दिवेहन की गई शक्तियों अथवा का प्रयाग या निवेहन निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन विद्युत मंत्रालय द्वारा भी विश्या नाएगा, यदि—

- कोयला ब्लाक कोरूय मंत्रालय द्वारा आर्बोटेत है वा है:
- (ii) कोयला धारक भृषि उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन अर्जित की जा रही है:
- (iii) विद्युत मंत्रालय अभाग उसको उप-प्रतिनिधि को उपयुक्त राज्य सरकार की पुनर्स्थापन तथः भुभवन्ति नीति का पालन करना होगा ;
- (iv) भू-स्वामियों अथना भा गर्दिनतें को प्रतिकर की अवायगी केन्द्र सरकार के विद्यमान मानदेती के अनुसार की जाएगी:
- (v) प्रतिकर के सभी विवादों का निपटान उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन पाँठत अधिकरण द्वारा किया जाएसा । अधिकरण के विनिश्चय ऑतिम होंगे और विद्युत मंत्रालय पर चाध्यकर होंगे ; और
- (vi) अधिकरण का राजस्य व्यय विद्युत मंत्रालय द्वारा समान अनुपात में साझा किया आएगा ।

[फा. सं. 43030-28/2007 मीआसआईडब्ल्म्-1] एम. शहाबुदीन, अवर सन्तिव

## MINISTRY OF COAL

New Delhi, the 22nd October, 2008

- S.O. 2982. —In excresse of the powers conferred by section 19 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (1957), hereinafter referred to as the said Act, the Central Covernment, hereby directs that, since it is administering the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957) and acquiring the land of coal blocks allocated to National Thermal Power, Corporation Limited (NTPC Limited), a Public Sector Undertaking subordinate to the Ministry of Power, for captive cost mining purposes on behalf of the Ministry of Power, all or any of the powers or duties which may be exercised or discharged by it under section 17 of the said Act for disbursement of payment of compensation to land owners or oustees are land acquired under the said Act, in respect of coal block officeated to National Themat Power Corporation Leaded (NTPC Limited), shall be exercised or discharged also by the Ministry of Power under the following conditions it
- (i) the Coal Black(s) is on the Blacket by the Ministry of Coal;
- (ii) the coal bearin... and is being acquired under the said. Act:
- (iii) the Ministry of Power or its sub-delegate shall adhere to the Resettlemess and Rehabilitation policy of the appropriate State Government.
- (iv) compensation payment to the land owners or oustees shall be made as ps. (Nevojjing norms of the Central Government;

- (v) all disputes of compensation shall be settled by the Tribunal set—up under the said Act. The decisions of the Tribunal shall be final and binding on the Ministry of Power, and
- (vi) the revenue expenses of the Tribunal shall be shared by the Ministry of Power in equal proportion.

[F. No. 43020/28/2007-PRIW-I] M. SHAHABUDEEN, Under Secy.

## इस्पात मंत्रालय

## नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 2983.—केन्द्रीय सरकार पैट्रोलियम और खिनज पाइप लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (क) के अनुसरण में नीचे दी गई अनुसूची के स्तंभ (1) में उल्लिखित व्यक्तियों को उक्त अनुसूची के स्तंभ (2) में तत्संबंधी प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्रों में उक्त अधिनियम के तहत सक्षम प्राधिकारी के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है।

अनुसूची			
प्राधिकारी	क्षेत्रीय अधिकारिता		
(1)	(2)		
विशेष भूमि अर्थन अधिकारी, डी.बी.रेल लिंक	जिला- क्योंझर, उड़ीसा		
जिला-क्योंझर, उड़ीसा,			
पिन- 758001			

[सं. ||(51)/2007-आई डी डब्स्यू] यू. पी. सिंह, संयुक्त सचिव

#### MINISTRY OF STEEL

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2008

S.O. 2983.—In pursuance of clause (a) of section 2 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby authorises the authority mentioned in colum (1) of the Table below to perform the functions of the competent authority under the said Act in the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said table.

TABLE

•			
Authority	Territorial jurisdiction		
(1)	(2)		
Spl. Land Acquisition Officer, D.B. Rail Link Keonijhar District, Orissa, Pin-758001.	Keonijhar District in the State of Orissa.		

[No. 11(51)/2007-IDW] U. P. SINGH, Jt. Secy.

## विद्युत मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 23 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 2984.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभावा (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उप नियम (4) के अनुसरण में पावरग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लि., गुडगांव तथा रूरल इलेक्ट्रीफिकेशन कारपोरेशन लि., मई दिल्ली के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रणाधीन कार्यालयों को, जिनके 80 प्रतिशत कर्मचारीवृंद ने हिंदी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, एतदुद्वारा अधिसूचित करती है:

- पावर्राग्रह कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लि., 400/220
   के. बी. उप केंद्र गांव दबोदा खुरह, झझर मार्ग, बहादुरगढ़, जिला झझर (हरियाणा)-124507
- पावरग्रिङ कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लि., एससीओ 259, द्वितीय तल, सैक्टर-44सी, चंढीगढ़ ।
- पाबरग्रिड कारपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लि., चम्बा पूलिंग स्टेशन, न्यू संजीवनी हास्पिटल बिल्डिंग, खजियार शेड सुल्तानपुर, चम्बा(हि.प्र.)-176314
- रूरल इलेक्ट्रोफिक्शेशन कारपीरेशन लि., पूर्वोत्तर आंचलिक कार्यालय, एकमार्ड बिल्डिंग, ब्लॉक -14/2, सीआईटी स्कीम-VIII(एम), उल्टाडांगा,कोलकाता-700067

[सं. । 1017/1/2007-हिंदी] आई. सी. पी. कोशरी, संयुक्त सचिव

## MINISTRY OF POWER

New Delhi, the 23rd October, 2008

- S.O. 2984.—In pursuance of Sub Rule (4) of Rule 16 of the Official Language (use of official pursposes of the union) Rules, 1976 the Central Government hereby notifies the following offices under the administrative control of Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd., Gurgaon and Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd., New Delhi, the staff where of have acquired 80% working knowledge of Hindi:
  - Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd., 400/220 KV Sub-Station, Village Daboda K h u r d , Jhajhar Marg, Bahadurgarh, Distt. Jhajhar (Haryana)-124507.
  - Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd., SCO 259, 2nd Floor, Sector-44 C, Chandigarh.
  - Powergrid Corporation of India Ltd., Old Sanjeevani Hospital Building, Khajiar Road, Sultanpur, Chamba (HP)-176314.
  - Rural Electrification Corporation Ltd., Eastern Zonal Office, ICMARD Bulding, Block-14/2, CIT Scheme-VIII(M), Ultadanga, Koikata-700067.

[No. 11017/1/2007-Hindi] I. C. P. KESHARI, Jt. Secy.

## उपभोक्ता मामले, छाद्य और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय (उपभोक्ता मामले विमाग) भारतीय मानक खूरो

नई दिल्ली, 8 अक्तूबर, 2008

**का.आ. 2985. -भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड** ःख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो **एतद्द्वारा अधिस्**चित करता है कि नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए मानक (कों) में संशोधन किया गया/किये गये हैं:-

## अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	संशोधित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्थक	संशोधन की संख्या और तिथि	संशोधन लागू होने की तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	औई एस 7372:1995, मोटर वाहनों के लिए सोसा-अम्ल की भंडारण बैटरियों - विशिध्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	3, मई 2007	07-10-2008

इस भारतीय संशोधन की प्रतियों भारतीय मानक ब्यूयं. भानक धवन, 9 , बहादुर शाह जफर ध्यां, नई दिल्ली- 110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भंधाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर घटना, पूणे तथा तिरूकनन्ताधुरम में बिकी हेतु उपलब्ध हैं ।

[संदर्भ : ई टी । 1/टी -60]

प्रकाश बचानी, वैज्ञा…ई (निदेशक) विद्युत तकनीकी विभाग

#### MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

#### (Department of Consumer Affairs)

## BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Della, the 8 October, 2008.

**S.O.** 2985. In pursuance of clause (b) of substitle (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standard hereby notifies that amendment to the Indian Standards, particulars of which is given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been issued:

#### SCHEDULE.

SI. No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standard	No & year of the Amendment	Date from which the Amendment shall have effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 '	IS 7372: 1995, Lead Acid Storage Batteries for Motor Vehicles-Specification (First Revision)	3. May 2007	<b>07</b> -10-200 <b>8</b>

Copy of this Amendment is available with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9. Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional offices: New Delhi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune and Thiruvananthapuram,

[Ref: ET 10/1-60]

## नई दिल्ली, 10 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 2986.—भारतीय मानक स्यूरो नियम, 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक स्यूरो एतद्द्वारा अधिस्चित करता है कि जिस भारतीय मानक का विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिया गया है वह स्थापित हो गया है:-

## अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या वर्ष और शीर्षक	नये पारतीय पानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित पारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों, यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
ı	आई एस /आई ई सी 60034-8: 2002 घूणी विद्युत मशीरें भाग 8 टर्मिनल चिन्हांकन और घूणी की दिशा (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	-	31 अगस्त 2008

इस भारतीय मानक की एक प्रति भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो, भानक भवन, 9 , बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली- 110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चण्डीगढ, चेन्गई, मुम्बई तथा शाखों कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलीर, भोपाल, मुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, नागपुर, पटना, पूर्ण तथा तिरूवनन्तापुरम में विकी हेतु उपलब्ध हैं ।

[संदर्भ : ईटी 15/टी-18]

प्रकाश वचानी, वैज्ञा.-ई (निदेशक) विद्युत एकनीकी विभाग

## New Delhi, the 10th October, 2008

S.O. 2986.—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies the Indian Standards to the Indian Standards, particulars of which is given in the Schedule hereto annexed has been issued:

#### SCHEDULE

St. No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards	No. & year of the Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard	Date of Established
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	IS/IEC 60034-8: 2002 Rotating electrical machines Part 8 Terminal markings and direction of rotation (Second Revision)	•	31 August, 2008

Copy of this standard is available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Dethi-1 10002 and Regional Offices: New Dethi, Kolkata, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices: Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvananthapuram.

[Ref: ET 15/T-18]

PRAKASH BACHANI, Sc. E (Director) Electrotechnical Department

## पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैम पंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 31 **अक्तूबर**, **20**08

का,आर, 2987.- भारत सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 को 50) (जिसे इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 को उप-धारा (1) के अधीन खारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय को अधिसूचक मल्या का आ. 67 तारीख 7 जनवरी, 2008 द्वारा नस आंधसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में मैससे रिलायन्स गैस ट्रान्सपोर्टेशन इन्फ्रान्युक्तर लिमिटेड द्वारा देश के विभिन्न हिस्सा में उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए अभिनेताओं है इरावाद-उसन-अहमदाबाद गैस धाइपलाइन विज्ञान के प्रयोजन के लिए अभिनेता के आंधिकार का अर्जन के अपने आश्रय की खोष्या की खोष्य की खोष्या की खोष्या की खोष्य की ख

और, १५६९ भजपत्र आधिसूचना की प्रतियह अत्या की अधेख 23 जुन, 2008 को अधवा उसमें यहले उलब्ध कर दी गई थीं ;

और, याडपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में जनता को उदेर से प्राप्त आक्षेपों पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी दारा विचार कर लिया गया है और अननुज्ञात कर दिखा गया :

और, सक्षय प्रापिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धार 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत मरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी हैं ;

और, भएत सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विधार करने ६: पश्चात् और यह समाधान हो जाने १५ कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन विछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने ६: विभिश्चय किया है:

अत: अब: भ्रायः सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की भाग ५ की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिशुधना से संनयन अनुसूची में विनिर्दिण: भृषि में एडपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग को आंधकार का अर्जन किया जाता है;

और, भाग्न सरकार डक्न अधिनियम की धार 6 की उपधार (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग कर अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की लग्नेख से भारत सरकार में निहित होने के यात्राण, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, मैसर्स रिलायन्स गैस दुरस्पगोर्टशन इन्फ्रान्टक्चर निमिटंड, में निहित तथा।

अनुसूची

तहसील : हांसोट	fan-	়ে মৃহত্ত	गञ्च : गुजरात	
गांव का नाम	अर्ब नम्बर <del>ुक्त</del> िक न	<b>आर. ओ. यू.</b> अविश करने के लिए :		क्षेत्रफल
		हेक्टेयर	एयर	चौ.मी.
1	2	3	4	5
I, मांगरोल	143/Å	ω	07	20
2. पर्वत	418/94	00	· <b>4</b> 0	4?
	273	00	10	12
3. ৱয়াৰ	402	00	41	10
	400	œ	48	60
	396	00	411	50
	411	00	4	51
	409	00	47	72
<b>4. शहं</b> रा	338	(X)	02	<b>-¥</b> ()
	337	$\infty$	(0)	<u> 11</u>
	327	(0)	(3)	78
	316	00	(10)	88
	65	œ	01	60
	285	00	65	01
	(78)	00	θē	50)
	296	00	<u>:</u> 11	55
	286	œ	31	34
	57	00	02	52
	+2	00	ij <b>S</b>	06

1	2	3	4	5
	281	00	14	50
5. ओमा	423/39	00	04	76
	<b>48</b> 2	. 00	02	37
6. कलम	204	. 00	14	58
	231/39	90	15	24
	231/ৰ	90	02	33
	223	00	OI.	59
	167/3 <sup>4</sup>	00	08	96
	169	00	07	31
	216	00	02	70
हसील : मरूच	जिला : भरूच	ग	न्य : गुजरात	
गांव का नाम	सर्वे नम्बर/ब्लॉक नं	आर. ओ. यू.	अजित करने के लिए क्षे	प्रफल
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		हेक्टेयर	एयर	चौ.मी.
1	2	3	4	5
1. भाडभूत	386	00	07	10
तहसील ; वागरा	জিলা : মৰুৰ	<del></del>	राज्य : गुजरात	

तहसाल ; बागरा जिला : मरूच राज्य : गुजरात

गाँव का नाम सर्वे नम्बर/ब्लॉक नं आर. ओ. यू. अर्जित करने के लिए क्षेत्रफल ं

हेक्टेयर एयर चौ.मी.

1 2 3 4 5
1. आंकोट 81 00 23 86

[फा. सं. एल-140]4/54/2004-जी.पी.]

के. के. शर्मा, अवर सचित्र

## MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 31st October, 2008

S.O. 2987.—Whereas by notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 67 dated 7th January, 2008, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), Government of India declared its intention to acquire the Right of User in the land, specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying Kakinada-Hydrabad-Uran-Ahmedabad gas pipeline for transportation of natural gas by M/s. Reliance Gas Transportation Infrastructure Limited to Consumers in various parts of the country;

And, whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were, made available to the public on or before 23rd June, 2008;

And, whereas, the objections received from the public to the laying of the pipeline have been considered and disallowed by the Competent Authority;

And, whereas, the Competent Authority has, under sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to Government of India:

And, whereas, Government of India after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire the Right of User therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, Government of India hereby declares that the Right of User in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby-acquired for laying the pipeline;

And, further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 6 of the said Act, Government of India hereby directs that the Right of User in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in Government of India vest, on the date of publication of the declaration, in M/s. Reliance Gas Transportation Infrastructure Limited free from all encumbrances.

## √ 019Æ

		+ U.C.M.E.	
Tehsil: ille.	District By	State : Guj	
Name of the other	Survey November 1	Area to be acquire-	• :
<del></del>		plactare 0	
<u> </u>			
I. Mangers	: <sup>(1)</sup>	( <del>4</del> )	20
2 Parva	186	00	
	. •	93	I
$\underline{A}_{ij} = C_i^{ij} trans_i^{ij}$	4-2	(i) -	<u>}11</u>
	7 e <sup>3</sup>	υ <u>θ</u>	$H^{*}$
	+ 26	(2)	50
	2 (	(3)	. "
	(0.0)	00	-:
4. Shahen	3#	00	· -33°
	<u>17</u> 7	(0)	. 27
	. 17	υó	78
	i6	(y)	35
	16	(S)	14
	ኅዚዩ	(yi)	63
	9.9	(#)	N.
	~~.	(8)	
	98	QI.	· .
	· :	<b>(6</b> )	
	:	(ri	.3
	291	€0	•
5 OSLa	3.		
2 630 (4.)		i Çi	:-
4 Kala	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(7)	
6. Kalas	5 · 1 · .	œ.	
	ent p	œ.	:
	4	41	ķ.
	75 - 3	0)	-8
	480	90	
		(t)	· .
	71.		
Tehs: .	Land 1	Sec.	
Nation of	5.1 ·	Area in St	
		Meeture	Section
)		3	
i. Pa	836	(4)	1.
1. • •			
<del></del> :			
4 clas# + V	Larget 	· · · · <del></del>	
Ngg-1 9	99 (12)	Area to	1.1.7 ROU
		Gostand	Sec. 15
<del></del>		3	
T 4.05		(0)	N .
			a 1 4 3014 51 2004
			1.78MA. Lader
			and Salvin Court

## श्रम एवं रोजगाँही मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 30 सितम्बर, 2008

का. आ. 2988.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार में. वी. सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण श्रम मंत्रालय संख्या । धनवाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 220/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 30-9-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/236/2000-आई आर (सी व ) स्तेह लता जवास, डेस्क अधिकारी

#### MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 30th September, 2008

S.O. 2988.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 220/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No. 1, Dhanbad now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 30-9-2008.

[No.L-20012/236/2000-IR(C-I)] SNEH LATA JAWAS, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.-1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference U/s, 10(1) (d) (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,

#### Reference No. 220/2000

Parties: Employers in relation to the management of Hurriladin Colliery of Kustore Area of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd.

#### AND

Their Workmen

Present: Shri H. M. Singh, Presiding Officer.

#### APPEARANCES

For the Employers : Shri H.Nath, Advocate.

For the Workman : Shri R.R. Ram, Joint General

Secretary, B.M.U.

State: Jharkhand : Industry: Coal

Dated, the 8th September, 2008

#### AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/236/2000-(C-I), dated, the 24th July, 2000 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause

(d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes. Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether by the Management of Kustore Area of M/s. BCCL in dismissing Shri Naval Kishore Azad from service from 18-11-1998 is proper legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. The workman has filed written statement stating that Naval Kishore Azad was a permanent workman in Hurriladill Colliery under K ustore Area of M/s. BCCL and he was working as Minoy/Loader and performed his duty. satisfactorily and never neglected his duty. He became seriously ill on 24-2-1997 and he was suffering from fatal. discase. He had informed the management regarding his illness. The Workman was under medical treatment of Dr. B.K. Singh, the Civil Assistant Surgeon of Kenduadih. Dhanbad from 24-2-1997 to 11-12-1997. The management served him a charge-sheet on 25-12-1997 during his treatment. The concerned workman replied to the charge-sheet on 15-1-1998. When he cured from his disease he requested to the authority concerned to resume his duty but the management denied to resume his dury, and he was dismissed from services w.e.f. 18-11-1998. The matter was referred to the A.L.C. (C), Dhanbad for reinsta tement in his service, but the conciliation proceeding was engled in failure. Thereafter the matter has been referred by the appropriate Authority to this Hon'ble Tribunal for adjudication.

It has been stated that the dismissal from services of the concerned workman is illegal, arbitrary, improper and unjustified, so he has played to reinstate him in service with full back wages.

Rejoinder has been filed by the workman stating the same thing and it has been stated t hat the copy of enquiry proceeding was not supplied to the vorkman on 9-10-1998/6-11-98 and the concerned workman was dismissed from service on 18-11-1998.

The management has filled written statement stating. that the reference is not maintaintable on facts and law both. The workman is a permanent employee of the management and was designated as minor/loader. The concerned workman was issued chargesheet for unauthorised absence from duty with effect from 24-2-1997. under Section 26.1.1, of the Certified Standing Orders of the Company vide letter No. BCCLJHRC/97/1336 dated 25-12-1997 of the Agent/Manager, H uπiladih Colliery. The concerned workman submitted his explanation which was not found satisfactory and it was decided by the management to conduct a dornestic enquiry and accordingly an Enquiry Officer was appointed and notice of enquiry was served on the concerned workman vide letter No. 1382, dated 7-1-1998. The concerned workman fully participated in the enquiry was conducted according

to the rules of normal justice. The Enquiry Officer toroid charges levelled against the workman (onceant) 1. As abmitted bis report is 1300 established beyond at 10 a enque y proceeding and the management ' quited to the workman concerns d enquiry report vide letter No. 1253, dated 16-11-1998 a rogs of to refer to the contents within 15 days. The workman conserned submitted his contents on the report, which was loand not satisfactory. Responding visit the gravity of meson them. it was decided by the management to terminate his provide from the compact and accordingly he was disabased for a the service vide letter No. 1384, dated 18-11-1998. . . . concerned work to in reused an industrial dispute before the A.L.C.(C), Dhanbad which was numbered as 1/92/99 +.2. The Dy. Chief Certonnel Manager, Kustore Area on the near of letter from A. (C.(C.), Dhanbad dated 13-9-1999 vide in the No. BCCL/KA/Fiscipline/99 explained the facts of the case and prayed for dropping the proceeding. The presenreference is outcome of this dispute.

In the rejoinder it has been stated that the concerned workman fully participated in the enquiry proceeding and he was given full opportunity by the Enquiry Officer to defend himself. At no stage he complained to the management or to the Enquiry Officer regarding conduct of the Enquiry Officer. Keeping in view of gravity of officer, the concerned workman was rightly dismissed from service. It has been prayed that award be passed in favour of the management.

4. The workman was produced WWeil North Kishore Azad and he proved Ext. Welt certificate gravity p. Dr. B.K. Singh and regarding informations to the management about his illness, fixt. W-2, W-2'll and Mark and joining letter under his signature, Ext. W-3

The management has proved Ext. M-1, charge deed, Ext. M-2 reply to the chargesheet submitted by the concerned workman, Ext. M-3 paper regarding enquiry. Ext. M-4 enquiry proceeding and Ext. M-5 enquiry report. Ext. M-6 letter regarding proceeding report and Ext. M-7 dismissal letter dated 18-11-1998.

- 5. It has been argued by representative of the workman that he was informed regarding his illness to the management. But in cross-examination WW-1 stated that he had joined duty in the year 1990. He performed duty to the year 1995 only for 54 days, in the year 1996 only for 64 days in the year 1996 only for 64 days and in the year 1997 he performed duty only (2) 19 days. It shows that the workman is not interested to serve to the management and he was a habitual absenter.
- 6. The paper filed by the workman, Ext.W-1, issued by Dr. B.K. Singh for medical treatment dated 24-2-1997 shows that he got such type of treatment which is not any serious illness during this period. It is useful that he was all from 24-2-1997 to 11-11. It is the extra period in the best no x-ray or clinical to the

he was actually ill. The core maded workman has not given any paper to show that he is a treatment in the hospital of the management. Paper taked by the workman, certificate Annexure-I dated 11-12- 11 is fitness certificate which shows that he was suffered to be U.T.L. is not such type of disease and it show that he was not suffering from er have enquiry proceedings any serious illness. Morro are before this Court, if be-30) he should have produced. the treatment, Dr. B.K. Singh the Doctor under whom !so that it can be believed a make was under his treatment and certificate has been and by such Doctor. Without producing and proving :asserticate has got no value in the eye of law and on ti-Programme management cannot believe that the concern- tript was really ill. The paper filed by the management amount report, Ext M-4 shows that the concerned working the adiabated his guilt. According ently 54 days in a year in 1995. to his statment he was we-65 days in the year 1996 and 39 days in the year 1997. It shows his conduct that it was habitual absentee in performing his duty. In the discumstances, it shows that after proper enquiry he was the missed on sufficient ground as per Certified Standing Calling Clause 26.1.1

7. Accordingly, 1 is referred the following Award. The action of the mass soment of Kustore Area of M/s, B.C.C.L. in dismission bland Nawel Kishore Azad from service from 18-11-1968 in fully justified. Hence, the concerned workman is a constitled to any relief.

49 M. SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिशाला ५० (शतस्यर, 2008

का, आ, 2989. जिल्लाक विवाद अधिनयम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा ! जिल्लाक में केन्द्रीय सरकार में, यी, भी,सी,एल, के प्रयंधतंत्र के श्राचित निर्मालकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट और जिलाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय करूया | 1, धनवाद के पंचार (संदर्भ सं, 116794) को प्रकाशित जाता है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 30-9-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ है।

[सं. एक (1984):2 279/92 आई आर (सा. 10) योज लता जिलाम, डेस्फ अधिकारी

New Delhi, tae 39th September, 2008

S.O. 2989.— In presumance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 116.94) of the Central Government industrial Tribunal-cum Lubour Court, No. 1, Dhambad now as shown in the Admexibe. In the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M. 180 CI and their workman, which was received by the Central Contemment on 30-9-2008.

#### ANNEXURE

# BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO.-1, AT DHANBAD

#### PRESENT

## Shri H. M. Singh, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I, D, Act, 1947.

#### Reference No. 116.of 1994

**PARTIES:** Employers in relation to the management of Govindpur Area No. III of M/s. B.C.C.L., P.O. Sonardih, Distr. Dhanbad.

#### AND

#### Their Workman

#### APPEARANCES

On behalf of the Workman : Mr. S. N. Goswamy,

Advocate.

On behalf of the Employers : Mr. D.K. Verma,

Advocate.

State: Jharkhand : Industry: Coal

Dated, the 9th September, 2008.

#### AWARD

The Government of India Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/271/1992-IR(C-I) dated the 6th May, 1994.

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the Management of the General Manager, Govindpur Area of M/s.BCCL. P.O. Sonardih, Distt. Dhanbad in terminating the services of Shri Putul Harin Sweeper w.e.f. January 1989 is justified? If not, to what relief in the concerned workman entitled?"

The case of the workman as per W.S. filed by the sponsoring union on behalf of the concerned workman is that Smt. Putul Aarif, Sweeper was appointed for maintenance and cleaners of Sinidih Officer's colony where bunglows of 28 officers and 200 employed quarters and Kendriya Vidyalaya situated. It has been stated that the quarters and Vidyalaya used to be controlled and supervision of Govindpur Area No. III of M/s. BCCL and only five sweepers have been appointed in permanent roll. and five sweepers were not sufficient for maintaining and cleaning that area. As per case of the workman Smt. Putul Harin, the concerned workman and other person Shri Premial. were appointed at Govindpur Area in the year 1983 for the purpose of cleaning bunglows, quarters and Kendriya Vidyalaya, on the basis of approval obtained from Koyala. Bhawan, the Headquarters of M/s. BCCL on 30-11-1983 and its subsequent renewal on 25-5-1984. The job assigned to the concerned workman was to maintain cleaning latrines, ash pits, drain of bunglows, quarters sweeping Road and class rooms. She worked continuously and uninterruptedly since January, 1983 to December, 1988 and has put attendance more than 240 days in each calendar year under direct control and supervision of the management. It has been further stated that due to her continuous demand for permanent regularisation the management have stopped the work of the concerned workman from January, 1989. suddenly without any notice, show cause or reasons violating the provisions of Section 25F of the LD. Act, 1947. Thereafter the concerned workman placed several representations and physically aproached before the authority concerned and discussions were also held at various levels between the authority concerned and the representatives of the union on 1-6-1990, 28-6-1990, 1-7-1990, 20/24-12-1990, 21-3-1991, 19-7-1991 and 14-8-1991. But inspite of the representations made to the management the concerned workman has been deprived of her livelihood. As per the W.S. of the workman the concerned workman has been treated as Badli worker whereas she ought to have been treated as regular and permanent workman. The workman side also reproduced para 7.5 of the Certified Standing Order of M/s. BCCL. Thereafter the sponsoring union of the concerned workman raised industrial dispute before the ALC(C) Dhanbad which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. It has been prayed on behalf of the workman to pass an Award in favour of the concerned workman Smt. Putul.

- 3. Management has also filed W.S. in which they have stated that the concerned lady Smt. Putul Harin, worked as Badli Sweeper during the period of leave and sick vacancies of permanent Sweeper deployed at Central. School during the period from November, 1983 to May, 1985. It has been stated by the management that the sponsoring union raised industrial dispute before the ALC(C) Dhanbad where the management produced all relevant records and it was found that she had put total number of 234 days of attendance. The Central Government vide notification No. t,-20012(271)/1992-IR(Coal-t) dated 13-9-1993 rejected the dispute as not it for reference on the ground that Smt. Putul Harin worked against leave and sick vacancy. intermittently for 234 days from November, 1983 to May, 1985 only. After clouste of the above dispute the present reference has been made behind the back of the management completely on different terms, probably on the basis of some wrong information given by the sponsoring union. It has been asserted by the management. that the reference is prima facic illegal and void and cannot be adjudicated. It has been submitted on behalf of the workman that the Certified Standing Order of the Company. became applicable from 12-10-1990 and the concerned lady. is claiming to have been stopped from her duties in January. 1989. Thus her case is not covered within the provisions of the Certified Standing Order of the Company. It has also been stated that a Badli workman does not have any right to continue in employment as her term of employer is for a particular period of leave and sick vacancy existing in place of some permanent workman. They have accordingly submitted to pass an Award holding that the concerned lady is not entitled to get any relief.
- 4. Management side also filed rejoinder in which they have admitted contents of some of the paras of the W.S. of the Workman. They have denied that the concerned workman has put 240 days attendance in any calander year as the concerned workman worked on leave and sick

<u>...</u> ----- . .

in is fine again sector. vacancy, Acco-Award refection is a supplied for representation appears that the view is a seed o has not rist also

- 5. The sec service galoning order Control case of the cois workness a father. and I gold training to concerned last ... an opinyed but Well and I produced MW
- 6. It has a managed by the represenso sked has note than . workman that : the regularisation as provear and he is end. in property and Securithe Certified No. I.D. Act. He has a second that from 150 (by 1) during the above to directly has worked cost of than 240 days over the coast any reasonable violating the person of proseries durades the LD. Act the control was has been torout a management by the separate the workman with built was a find. Counsel for the has argued that I are not completed 150 to and in the concer. The proceeding 234 days in November, 188 to 8 May 1986. So 52 to regularised. On the Sold of the workman 300 Fifter 115 has been reference owisieh Horrible Segment laid down the formal and

Industrial 1 to the Act, 1947 | Sec. 25-P and him: Simpleyer has to in a rendering to our among all services to the by this case is a retrenches forthcouses, continuation, for retrenches in in a working niconcernation in and congress of as as per Sec. PN-FFR 100 closing do to subsect of completion of the

Ld. Course for the workman referred to a reported in 2004 and a 44 K 490 in which then are Court laid down the reflewing :

> Industrial color 1. Act, 1947 Section. workman one concans a person concercausal westernor working in face of agreement of Fradle worker it is absorbed to a impletes 240 favour. months. Note: Survivials rendered services of temporary posts for more than 2.00 does to treat here were all for absorption (10) to be regularised. Or compensate tasks

In the product observorkman has not confident days in a year the morkman also referred to decision reported at 2005(1) LL (Page 18) nation of Kerala High Court laid down the following .

> "Industrial Loop has Act, 1947 - Sec. and 25F Wareachment of Sweeper, thouse the worker, who corked continuously for about a held, on the school por justified. Order land in reinstatement with back wages and one-cobenefits, embal, as valid."

Managery or tast referred Unia Decision in a S.C.C.-I, 2006(1.01), Tree 181.

7. In this respect WW-1 has stated to a coexamination 13 no act have any paper with a 13 cm as that I was appointed in the year 1983, and an according to freed to me during the above assent of my see-

on krow whether duproceeding any enquirschmitted or not with area from 1983 to 1985 :

- 8. Her statement hars issued to her. No reius worked only for 23 f.: MW-1 stated that the co (3) dli Sweepers in the Co closed long ago. At pre-Area, namely, Dharmis-South Govindour Collies Collieries of Govindato 🗁 Libour in every denarance of Sweeper so that presere-Counsel for the manager. and arisen and the Gircsester dated 30-9-1993 for a and reference has been a reference cannot berahudication by this Cos. or the argument on the f
- 9. As per law to reported in Lab LC, pri High Court held that 🧦 240 days—He does not paid holidays for dee ii workman is monthly r. calculating 240 days for Hon'ble Supreme Court laid down regarding re-Labour Court - cannot :: per evidence of manageso this Court cannot a concerned workman, B.: 2008 page 1739 laid dos-

"Industrial Disc Retremeliment- - () Employee was to he worked daily. stated that employ basis depending hours periodical? also being done appointment oraby employer that sanctioned post to High Court | Fin : of employee the. reinstatement list is

 As per decis: 1 by the Hon'ble Supremeno scope to give relief ... the following Award is

> "The action of a Manager, Gobbo ... Sonardih, Dist. (2) of Sint. Putul 11.1 get any relief.

disease an effective the gold of any type? Asset contains in the set. 200 days

un appointment for co Haves used to her No. recitation processes. a Ferancised to work in en which has since been A dienes of Geomépur Bery Tentha Colliery and menesed out of tetainable the gesent there are sumited in a magdiere is no vacar sy agong diligatiserbed to a Lighted a Labour Day and Christia of Lopes of a three some against infell sexuasign Lxt.M. L. So seconhe Gove of India div cossile. Høre is weed to at a the management

s. Bombay High Courhas some 4334 Box Un-"Torgingous Service" That weekly holidays act: and days. Only when a concludes are counted toand period on one year. 1.2008 Vol. II page 12.55 as a moservice power of is absence of the post. As the is no post of Sweeper . . . reinstatement of the has king Court in L.L.C. May. net-exangr

virial 1 of 1947) St 25h. smork für 240 dass Proof. as to home for which , swever cate one offion organized on a parter secof requirement for 2 to 5 there his employee was % and his mother. No could to employee. Pleaa field by employee was not as a searrect by Imbination resistant that termination. a in thegal and consequent a set pade."

 most relabove and laid down. a Una Devi's Case there is Reemed workman Hence.

sigement on the General Mean of May RCCL, P.O. ing a terminating the services. loceper wie f. Jan. 1989 is justified? The continued workman is not entitled to

1. SINGH, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 3 अक्तूबर, 2008

का, आ, 2990,—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार इंडियन बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबंद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम त्यायालय, इरनाकुलम के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 264/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था ।

[सं. एल-12012/289/1993: आई आर (बी-H)]

राजिन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकरी

New Delhi, the 3rd October, 2008

S.O. 2990.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 264) 2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Ernakulam, as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Indian Bank, and their workman. which was received by the Central Government on 3-10-2008.

[No. L-12012/289/1993-IR (B-II)]

RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

## IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

Present: Shri. P. L. Norbert, B. A., LL.B., Presiding Officer

(Friday the 27th day of June 2008/6th Ashada 1930)

J.D. No. 264/2006

## (I. D. 17/1994 of Industrial Tribunal, Alapuzha)

Workman

: Smt.K. R. Valsala, C/o.\$ri.H.B.Shenoy,

General Secretary, Cochin Labour Union,

Vatsal, Krishna Swaniy Road,

Kochi - 682 035.

By Adv.Sri.H.B.Shenoy & Adv. Sri, Ashok B.Shenoy.

Management: The Zonal Manager,

Indian Bank, Zonal Office, ... Anandhi, Chittoor Road, Pulleppady,

Ernakulam, Kochi - 682 035.

By Adv. Sri. S. Easwaran.

This case coming up for hearing on 28-05-2008, this Tribunal-cum-Labour Court on 27-06-2008 passed the following.

#### AWARD

This is a reference made under Section 10(1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act. The reference is .-

"Whether the action of the management of Indian Bank, Cochin in terminating the services of Smt.K.R. Valsala, Part-time Sweeper with effect from 16-01-1993 is justified? If not, what relief is the said. workman is entitled to?"

- 2. The case was pending originally before the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. That court passed an award on 17-08-1996 ordering re-instatement of the workman with backwages. This was challenged before the Hon'ble High-Court of Kerala by management bank in O.P.No.21984 of 1997, but was dismissed. The matter was taken up in W.A. No. 2450 of 2005. In appeal the award of the Tribunal and Judgment of the Single Bench of the High Court were set aside and the matter was remitted back to the Industrial Tribunal for a de-nova consideration on 03-07-2006 Thereafter LD, was transferred to this Court by Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha, Since parties were given opportunity to adduce evidence as per the judgment in Writ Appeal the documents produced before this couft were marked as Exts.X1 to X3.
- 3. The facts of the case in brief are as follows: Smt. K. R. Valsala was engaged as Casual Part-time Sweeper whenever her mother, permanent part-time sweeper, Sint, Janoki was on leave, from 1981 onwards till the death. of Smt. Janaki in 1988. After the death of Smt. Janaki she continued to work as Part-time Sweeper on casual basis. According to the worker however she was replaced by another hand on 15-01-1993 and she was retrenched without giving either notice or compensation. The retrenchment is illegal and in violation of 1.D. Act and she is entitled to be re-instated with consequential benefits of backwages, continuity of service etc.
- According to the management Smt.K.R. Valsala. was working in leave vacancies of her mother from 1981 to May 1988. Smt. Janaki died in May 1988. In accordance with the recruitment norms and guidelines the management called for a panel of candidates from employment exchange. on 31-01-1989 for the purpose of selection to the post of Part-time Sweeper. However there was some delay in forwarding the panel of candidates to the management. Hence the management could select a suitable candidate only on 16-11-92. The successful candidate. Smt.T.R.Rajamma was appointed as Part-time sweeper at Pala Branch on 15-01-1993. During the interregnum Smt.K.R.Valsala and a few others were engaged as sweepers on causal basis intermittently. However Smt.K. R. Valsala worked only up to 21-07-1992. Thereafter she was not engaged even as causal labourer. She was not retrenched by the management. She was only causal worker and her engagement came to an end on 21-07-1992. Stut. Valsala was not a candidate sponsored by employment

exchange for the control of the finding Control of the entitled for any of

5. The on the following store model to a "Whether the large sologies."

Before the state in Butter of the claimant was a state of the claimant was a state of the claimant was a state of the claimant of the claimant

6. The c or strainless in agent less designed Smt.Valsala w ... Smt.Janaki (wb. Loughest Fertilies by allock in dain vacability of the Branch of the 1. till May 1988 📑 in an algorithms with per little rate temper in siwork as Pan-1111 minimal region to the first of the bank called Traveller of the large the post of Pa had not spenwar arrigger over the candidate, Son + and a period convenience on 15-01-195 The second advantages production of the second **Беел а рогоно**в. amorated at the Ais that she was site a company advisory of the 15-01-1993 acres a with the Stating Cart transfer of This is challen: the some Sweep to Over the she was not the ... others workin. so dibasis internation e i 10 that worker has now also contencontinuously to the first of 236 days of one for comment. The bank to be a less preceding and cannal basis intering goals (i.e., 17). that she had word as 21-07-1992 and 13 (04.199) (a riginal) on Therefore the and the source he constitute the worker has Contract of the Contract of th to her terminoa succepting dates appeared continuous some dal comproyed discourse in any right under the system of 120 Autoan desperance of the seguarrel that the 240 days is on-Programme Buckley Co. that burden is a and the first product calling for doa the controllarded of the contrast that is thereafter that an dislodge the continuous of the worker of the admitted legar THE BALL MARKAGES AND in the public ages of details of various on by the lean. A CARLO SERVICE to cite them :: 10000

- (1) M.H. Francisco House 2 346

- (4) Surendranago (10) nei Panchayat v. Jethobhai Pithamberbhai (10) 8 SCC 450.
- (5) ONGC Limited v. Shyamal Chandra Bhownik(200) 15 C337.
- 7. The learned correct for the management also submitted that continue and service of 240 days should be one preceding the service of 240 days should be one preceding the service of To substantiate his contention he relied on two accessors of Hon'ble Supreme Court. That is, Surendry again District Panchayat v Dahyabhai Amarsinh (24): 7.8 C.C. 750, R.M. Yellatti v Assistant Executive Engine (AIR 2006 S.C. 355.)

Section 25-F read - actions.

"Conditions preceds—to terrenchment of workmen No workman employ of an any industry who has been in continuous serves, for not less than one year under an employ—that be retrenched by that employer until-

- (a) the workman has been given one month's notice in writing indicate the reasons for retrenchment and the period of the second contice, wages for the period of the notice.
- "Continuous server deleteration in Section 25-4 is defined in Section 23-7 are elevant portion reads:

"S.25-B.

- (1)......
- (2) where a works to its not in continuous service with in the mass arguit clause (1) for a period of one year or set to take, he shall be deemed to be in continuous to sace under an employer.
- (a) for a period of the year, if the workman, during a period of two so smoother months preceding the date with the orace to which calculation is to be made. The actually worked under the employer for the case, than
- (i) one hundred are interest days in the case of a workman one and below ground in a mine than
- (ii) two hundred processes days, brong other cases?"

In the wake of the beau by the contesting parties that the service was cost to your for a period of more than 240 days and vice verse. agessory to determine whether the worker was in considence service of 240 days prior to her retrenchment. But e.g., the date of termination is in dispute. According to the source she was terminated from service on 15-01-1993 v. in the new incumbent assumed charge. But according to a remangement she worked never after 21-07-1992 in any contact space 18, page 5 of written statement). It is also continued to the same para that though the management had care to a panel of candidates from sark as on 31-01-1989, the the employment exchan-November 1992. Hence the ganel was received on . mendidate could be set. . . . . . . . . . . 16-11-1992 and the

employment hand took charge as permanent Part-Time Sweeper on 15-01-1993. During the interregnum the management had to engage the worker and 7 others on casual basis intermittently for sweeping work. But the worker was engaged only up to 21-07-1992.

8. The case of the management does not appear to be correct. Ext.WI is the copy of relevant pages of the ledger concerning the S.B. Account of Smt. K. R. Valsala. Ext. W2 is copies of credit slips (X 1 is the original). Ext. X2 is the cash book (original). Ext. X3 is the register of wages paid to temporary employees. These documents belie the contention of the management. As per these books of accounts and credit slips she had worked up to the time the employment hand assumed charge on 15-01-1993. Therefore the date of termination has to be taken as 15-01-1993 and not 21-07-1992 as submitted by the management. Therefore, for the purpose of calculation of continuous service of 240 days a period of 12 calendar months from 15-01-1993 backwards is to be taken into consideration. Hence the period of one year so far as the worker is concerned would be between 14-01-1992 and 15-01-1993. The learned counsel for the management placed much reliance on the application of the worker (Ext. M 1) to argue that she herself has admitted that she has not worked during any year for 240 days. Though the worker denies the signature in the application as subscribed by her, it tallies with the admitted signatures in the claim statement and vakkalath. Ext. Mi was submitted on 09-08-1990 to the Zonal Manager requesting for regularisation as Part-Time Sweeper. In the application she has mentioned that she had worked from 12-01-1981 to 31-12-1985 for 146 days. Thereafter from 1986 to July 1990 she had worked 504 days. Thus altogether she had worked 650 days from 12-01-1981 to July 1990. The year bar split up is provided in the application. As per that, during no year she has worked for a period of 240 days. But Ext. M I has no relevance to the issue. The period to be reckoned for the purpose of Section 25-F of 1, D. Act is the period between 14-01-1992 and 15-01-1993 (preceding her termination). The learned counsel for the worker has prepared a tabular statement showing the number of days the claimant had worked from 09-05-1988 to 20-01-1993. The statement was prepared based on the credit entries in S. B. Account ledger (Ext. WI). It is not necessary to examine the credit entries in Ext.WI and other account books prior to 14-01-1992 which is the crucial cut-off date. Therefore I would analyse the records with reference to the period between 14-01-1992 and 15-01-1993. Wherever credit entries are recorded in different registers and books of accounts, respective columns are filled with "yes" and for no credit entry "nil".

From the records as per the above table the total number of days Smt. K. R. Valsala has worked, comes to 270 days within 12 calendar months preceding 15-01-1993 (between 14-01-1992 and 15-01-1993). It is enough for the worker to show that during a period of 12 months preceding

her termination she had worked 240 days irrespective of the number of days of work every month. The number of days the claimant had worked during the period from 14-01-1992 to 15-01-1993 is as follows:—

Year	Month	Days	
1992	January	27	
-	February	24	
*	March	25	
P	April	10	
•	May	31	
#	June	17	
н	July	30	
•	August	15	
н	September	3	
7	October	39	
ы	November	22	
hı	December	27	
	Total	270	

9. However, the learned counsel for the management argued that all the remittances shown in Ext. WI S.B. Account ledger of the worker do not take in wages alone, but personal remittances as well. It is true that in Ext. W1 ledger folio certain remittances are shown as cash payments and others as wages. But on close scrutiny it is not difficult to make out that the cash payments shown in the ledger are nothing but wages. For example in Ext. W1 on 23-05-1992 an amount of Rs. 96 is seen credited to the account of the worker by cash. At that time the daily wage rate was Rs. 16. Thus the amount of Rs. 96 consists of 6 days' wages (16×6). Similarly on 19-6-92 there is another cash remittance of Rs. 96. On the same day there is another remittance of Rs. 176 as wages. It is 11 days' wages at Rs. 16 per day (16×11). On 01-07-1992 there is a remittance of Rs. 80 by way of wages. It represents 5 days' wages. On 22-07-1992 there is a cash remittance of Rs. 128, It is nothing but 8 days' wages. On August 5th there is a cash remittance of Rs. 32. It is two days' wages. The remittances are described in Ext.W1 as cash as well as wages. However, after 21-07-1992 every remittance is shown as cash remittance. But the amounts remitted clearly tally with the number of days of wages @ Rs.16 per day. The management has no case that the worker had a mini deposit account and used to remit small amounts every now and then. The worker hails from a poor family and has no proper education. She had no source of income other than the income from the service in the bank as casual worker. I wonder how she was able to deposit amounts within a gap of 3 or 4 days without fail. The contention of the management is amusing and is adopted to deprive a poor lady of her daily bread. The credit slips Ext. X1 (copy of Ext. W2) shows various remittances to the S. B. Account of the worker. The credit

Date	Lveger Edity W!	Credit Slip W 2	Register of wages of Temporary employees, N.J.	Citaly Reside	Amount	No. o: days	Remarks
14.01.92	Yun	Yes	Yes (No name).	`,	117		лі X 3 по пате
30.01.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Radita)	Solver (Subma)	78	I t	The name of Valsala tempered and corrected as Radira in X.3.
30.01.92	$Y_{\rm CP}$	Yes	Yes (Radisa)		156 (78 ± 78)		-do-
14.02.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (No mage)	21.	176	U	
29.02.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Radbs)	- (desila)	208	:	N3 and $X(3)$ asome differs.
11.03.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Valsalo)	٠.	176	11	
31.03.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Ragitus	v No. 36.	724	1-1	X3 and X2-man/e differs
13 <b>.04</b> .92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Valsala)	N.:	160	(4)	
04.05.92	Σr	Yes	Yes (Radh)	(c. 36sue)	143	!	Hie name of Vacotla in X 2 is tumpered
23.05.92	γ	Yes	Yes (Vaisata)	٠.,	208	.3	
23.05.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Radinis	<ul> <li>Valsitati</li> </ul>	96		8.3 and X 2-name differs
19.06.92	10:	Yes	Yes (Rastina)	(SUX) (SUX)	96	5)	3.2 and N.2. name differs
19.06.92	Yes	Yes	Yes (Voicea)		i76	L,	
01.07.92	165	Yes	Yes (Valsas)	. •	80	4	
22.07 93	Yc	Yes	Yes (Radh o	$5 \times 100 \text{ phys}$	128	٠.	Oscrepancy in the names
22 07.92	$Y_{C_{\mathcal{F}}}$	Yes	Yes (Rash)	$\mathbb{N}(s) = \mathcal{S}(s, \Omega)$	272	!.	
22 08.92	Yes	Yes	Nif (Valsala)	$v = \lambda \cdot \log \omega$	150	٠.	
29.08.92	See	Yes	Na	a (19)	(10)	:	
01,09,92	$\Sigma_{S^{k+1}}$	Yes	\dir	$v_{t}=v_{t}\cdot v_{t}\cdot Q$	47		
01.10.93	$\Upsilon_{\mathcal{O}^{(i)}}$	Yes	Nit	$\{,3\}$	. 160	1	
07.10.92	٧,	Yes	Nil	s ribstra)	225	:	
31,10,92	$\Delta v$	Yes	Nd	5.5.11	<u>22</u> 5	:	
07.14.93	!!	Yes	Nil	to substitution	240		
14.11.92	÷	Yes	Nil	the National	8.1	٠.	
21.14.92	Yes	Yes	Nil	. (alsala)	96		
28 (US)	Yes	Yes	29	5 (57,75,66)	80		
01.12.92	Yes	Yes	Nil	West Zillschalter	32		
12 12,92	Λ.	Yes	Nil	$b = 1 \pmod a$	80	`	
14.12,92	Yes	Yes	Nil	tic (Sabala)	96	•	
14,12,92	\;:	Yes	Nil	No. Masala)	96	· .	

slips are signed by the Manager of the bank. It was impossible for a person of the background of the worker to have remitted amounts on various days within short gaps in her account. The bank was not able to show that the worker had any other source of income. Apart from that the remittances perfectly match and tally with the wage rate of the worker. Ext, X3 register of wages of temporary employees discloses unethical method of manipulating the accounts with a view to see that the worker does not acquire any right or any kind of benefit. The payments made on 30-01-1992 to Valsala for 12 days of work is changed and corrected as payments made to Radha (said to be another casual Part-time Sweeper). However, the credit slips of that day as well as the S.B. ledger of the worker show that those payments were made to Valsala and not to Radha. On 29-02-1992 as per ledge: folio and credit slip an amount of Rs, 208 was paid as wages to Valsala. This is also shown in Ext.X2 cash book as payments made to Valsala. But in Ext.X3 it is shown as wages paid to Radha. On 04-05-1992 Rs.192 was paid towards wages of Valsala as per Ext. WI and 2. But in Ext. X3 this is shown as wages to Radha. In the cash book Ext. X2 an amount of Rs. 192 is seen remitted to the account of Valsata as: per token No.54. However after writing the name of Valsala something is overwritten making it difficult to discern what was originally written. On 23-05-1992 Rs. 96 was remitted to the account of Valsala as per Ext. WI, W2 and X2. But in the register of wages Ext. X3 against the remittance Radha's name is mentioned. So also in respect of the remittances made on 19-6-1992 and 26-6-1992, the same discrepancy is seen.

For the sake of denying service benefits to a parttime sweeper, the officers of Pala branch have played the trickery. The loyalty of such officers to the bank by doing jugglery in books of account brings no luster to the institution or laurels to the officials. It is no act of valour to trick and trap a poor, illiterate lady with tampered records. In the face of such records she is unable either to counter the contention of the bank or decipher the deception. It is unfair on the part of the management to have resorted to tampering with the records to achieve their goal. The records clearly indicate that the worker had been in the service of the bank for a continuous period of 240 days during 12 calendar months preceding her termination on 15-01-1993. It follows therefore that she cannot be thrown away from service bare handed. She enjoys the protection of S.25-F of J.D. Act unmistakably. A violation of a statutory provision cannot be made good by subsequent compliance. It was mandatory to comply with the provision before she was terminated from service. However, taking into consideration the distance of time 15 years after the termination and the age factor of the worker, it may not be appropriate to order re-instatement. However the bank is bound to comply with S.25-F of the Act without driving her from pillar to post.

In the result, an award is passed finding that the action of the management in terminating the service of Smt. K. R. Valsala w.e.f. 16-01-1993 is illegal and unjustified.

The management is directed to comply with Section 25-F of the LD, Act within the shortest possible time.

The award will come into force once month after its publication in the Official Gazette.

Dictated to the Personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and passed by me on this the 19th day of June, 2008.

P. L. NORBERT, Presiding Officer

APPENDIX

## Witness for workman

WW 1 - 15-12-1995 Smt. K. R. Valsala.

## Witness for the Management

MW1 - 02-02-1996 Sri. Jose Joseph.

#### Exhibits for Workman

- WI Photostat copy of the Saving Bank Account No.2838 maintained by Pala Branch of Indian Bank in the name of Smt. K. R. Valsala.
- W2 Photostat copy of the SB Account No.2838 Credit Slips maintained by Pala Branch of Indian bank for the period from 01-05-1988 to 31-01-1993.

## Exhibit for Management

 M1 - 09-08-1990 Photostat copy of representation submitted by Smt. K. R. Valsala.

## Court Exhibits

- VI Originals of the credit slips maintained in the management bank in the name of Smt. K. R. Valsala. (Originals of Ext. W2).
- X2 Rough Cash Books for the period from 21-6-88 to 11-02-1993.
- X3 Register of wages paid to temporary employees from 01-05-1988 to 31-01-1993.

## नई दिल्ली, ३ अक्तूबर, 2008

कर. आ. 2991.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार सिण्डीकेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, इरनाकुलम के पंचाट (संदर्भ मं. 172/2006) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 3-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/79/1998-आई आर (बी-11)]

राजिन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी.

New Delhi, the 3rd October, 2008

**S.O.** 2991.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 172/2006) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-

Labour Court. Emakulam, as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Syndicate Bank, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 3-10-2008

[No. L-12012/79/1998-IR(B-II)] RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

## INTHE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM

Present: Shri. P. L. Norbert, B. A., LL.B., Presiding Officer

(Friday the 23rd day of May 2008/2nd Jaishta 1930)

I.D. No. 172/2006 (LD. 52/1998 of Labour Court, Ernakulam)

Union : The Assistant Secretary,

Syndicate Bank Staff Association,

T.D.Road, Kochi - 682 035.

By Adv. Sri, S. Nagaresh.

Management: The Divisional Manager,

Syndicate Bank, Divisional Office,

M.G.Road, Emakulam.

By Adv. M. P. Ashok Kumar.

This case coming up for hearing on 16-05-2008, this **Tribunal**-cum-Labour Court on 23-05-2008 passed the following.

#### AWARD

This is a reference made under Section 10(1)(d) of Industrial Disputes Act. The reference is:

"Whether the action of the management of Syndicate Bank to terminate the services of Sh. R.S.Pai. Clerk vide order dated 16-12-96 is legal and justified, and whether the management is justified in not considering the request of the Smt.Pushpa Pai. W/o. R.S. Pai terminated employee due to medical ground for compassionate appointment? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. R.Santharam Pai was a Clerk of Syndicate Bank since 01-02-1978. While so, he met with a major accident on 26-08-1993 and sustained serious injuries. He was hospitalized and underwent prolonged treatment for Quadriplegia. He availed leave at his credit till 01-09-1996. Thereafter he applied for extra ordinary leave up to 1-9-1997. The medical Board examined the workman and certified that he had cent percent disability. The management on 16-12-1996 decided to terminate the service of the workman after giving him 3 months' notice. Since the representation of the workman and the union to retain the workman in service was not favourably considered the union has raised the industrial dispute.

- According to the anson the termination of the service of the workman is illegal and in violation of provisions of Sasthri Award and Bipartite Settlement. The workman was discriminated in the matter of dispensation. of his service while in sumfar instances disabled persons were allowed to remain in service on humanitarian and compassionate grounds I ven if the management wanted to terminate the service of the workman they should have provided an employment to his dependant on compassionate ground. The management waited till the workman crossed the age of 55 years for terminating his service with a view to dony employment to his dependants. on compassionate ground. Hence the union prays that the workman may be reinstated in service wie f. 20-03-1997 with all consequential benefits and continuity of service and arrears of wages or alternatively to give employment on compassionate around to los dependants
- 4. According to the management the workman had availed and exhausted ai! his eligible leave at credit up to 01-09-1996. The management in addition had granted extraordinary additional leave on loss dipay. The workman was absent from duty communicisty from 28-08-1993 onwards. Since the employee was totally disabled he was unable to discharge his duties. It became imperative for the bank to invoke para 522(1) of Sasthri Award and terminate his service after 3 months' notice. Since the workman was unable to discharge his duties and was not attending his duties continuously from 28-08-1993 the bank was not in a position to consider his representation. Bank has not violated any of the provisions of Sasthri Award or Hipartite Settlements. The disability of the workman is incomparable. with the disability of other workers. The employment on compassionate ground is given to one of the dependants of the employee dying in harness or to a dependant of the employee opting to retire voluntarily on medical ground before attaining 55 years of age. Employment on compassionate ground cannot be claimed as a matter of right. The workman was aged 57 years at the time of termination of his service. Hence employment on compassionate ground could not be considered by the management. The bank has scrupulously followed the relevant provisions of Sasthri Award and Bipartite settlements while terminating the service of the workman. The bank has infact granted eligible leave and in addition extra ordinary leave on loss of pay. In the circonstances the workman is not entitled for any relief
- 5. In the light of the above contentions the following points arise for consideration.
  - 1. Is the termination of service of workman legal?
- 2. is any of the dependants of the employee eligible to get employment on compassionate ground?
  - Reliefs, if any?

The evidence consists of the oral testimony of WW-1 and documentary evidence of Exts W-1 to W-10 on the

side of the workman and MW1 and Exts,MI and M2 series on the side of the management.

- 6. Point No.1:—The workman Shri.R.S.Pai joined the service of the bank on 1-2-1978 as clerk. He met with an accident on 26-8-1993 and was bedridden. He applied for leave and exhausted all the leave at credit and in addition he availed additional extra ordinary leave on loss of pay up to 1996. The Medical Board which examined the workman certified that he had cent percent disability. These facts are not disputed.
- 7. Ext.W4 is the order of termination dated 16-12-1996. The workman had made representation to the management for reconsideration of the termination order and copy of re-representation is Ext. W5. The union thereafter raised an Industrial dispute regarding the termination of service by Ext. W6 complaint to Assistant Labour Commissioner, Ext.M 1 is the medical certificate of the Medical Board certifying that the worker is suffering from quadriplegia and he has locomotor disability. Ext.M2 series are internal correspondence of the management bank regarding leave applied and sanctioned including a letter addressed to the worker. The termination of the service of the worker is challenged on the ground that it is against S.47 of (The) Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, S.47 reads;
- (1) No establishment shall dispense with or reduce in rank, an employee who acquires a disability during his service:

Provided that, if an employee, after acquiring disability is not suitable for the post he was holding could be shifted to some other post with the same pay scale and service benefits.

Provided further that if it is not possible to adjust the employee against any post, he may be kept on a supernumerary post until a suitable post is available or he attains the age of superannuation, whichever is earlier.

(2) No promotion shall be denied to a person merely on the ground of his disability;

Provided that the appropriate Government may, having regard to the type of work catried on in any establishment, by notification and subject to such conditions, if any, as may be specified in such notification, exempt any establishment from the provisions of this section."

8. In Kunal Singh V. Union of India 2003 (3) KL T 61 (SC) a Constable in the Special Service Bureau suffered an injury to his left leg and his leg was amputated. He was invalidated from service on the basis of the report of Medical Board that he was permanently incapacitated for the service. A writ petition filed by the employee was dismissed. The matter came up in appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

It was held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court that \$.47 contains. a clear direction that the employer shall not dispense with the service of an employee who acquires the disability during his service. The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed that the Act is a social beneficial enactment dealing with disabled persons and the object of the Act is to protect the rights of a disabled employee and provide him equal opportunities and full participation. The provision should be construed with a view to advance the object of the statute. It is a special enactment and should over-ride the provisions of a general enactment. In F.A.C.T. V. Gopinatha Panicker 2004 (2) KLT 455, a Division Bench. of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala held that a reading of S.47 makes it abundantly clear that no establishment shall. dispense with or reduce in rank an employee who acquires a disability during his service. If possible he should be shifted to another post suitable to him or keep him in a supernumerary post until he attains the age of superannuation. It is also held that the provision is mandatory in nature. In the light of \$.47 of the Act and in view of the observations in the decisions referred suprathe management could not have terminated the service of the workman. He had served the management bank 15 years. before he had met with a major accident and was bed ridden. He was not in a position to move or do any work since the date of accident. The management was well aware of that. The special enactment (Disabilities Act) was not taken into consideration. But invoking para 522(1) of Sasthri Award the termination was effected. Para 522(1) reads:

- "(a) In cases not involving disciplinary action for misconduct, and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4 of Chapter XXXIV, the employment of a permanent employee may be terminated by three months' notice or on payment of three months' pay and allowances in lieu of notice.
- (b) The service of a probationer may be terminated by one month's notice or on payment of one month's pay and allowances in lieu of notice".
- 9 It was argued by the learned counsel for the management that the workman has to approach first the competent authority made mention in S.50 or 57 of (The) Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities Protection of Rights and full participation) Act, 1995, for any relief under the provisions of the Act and not a court of law. The submission is not appealing. There is no prohibition of exclusion of jurisdiction of the court in the Act,
- 10. For the purpose of carrying out the provisions of the Act stipulation is made for appointment of officers and committees. S.3 speaks of the constitution of a Central Co-ordination Committee by the Central Government. S.8 relates to functions of the Central Co-ordination Committee. It's functions are to evolve apprehensive policy for solving the problems of disabled persons, co-ordinate the activities of all Departments of Government as well as Non-

Governmental Organizations, to develop a national new to advise Central Congramment on the formulation of polyment programmes, legislation and projects etc. \$.9 speak (1) Central Executive Computtee. Section 10 refers to Business . . of Central Executive Committee which has to carry bacter. decisions of Central Co-ordination Committee, S. 13 c. a.e. to State Co-ordination Committee, S 18 relates to fanc as a of State Co-ordination Committee. Its functions the many a the same as Central Co-ordination Committee, 5 (1900), 100 of State Executive Committee and its functions. mentioned in \$.20. \$ 50 says that the State Governor or shall appoint any authority as it deems (it to be the competent authority for the purpose of the Act. Section 1999 to appointment of Charl Commissioner. The run to be an Chief Commission() accommended in S. 58 (12) Store to the are to Co-ordinate the work of Commissioners, not the utilisation of funds disbursed by the Central Government take steps to sategorard the rights and facilities are a available to disabled persons and submit repor-Central Government on the implementation of the best of such intervals as the Government of prescribe. In addition \$.59 empowers the Chief Commissioner to box lancomplaints with respect to deprevation of rights of recover with disabilities. Section 60 refers to appointment of Commissioners for persons with disabilities in every serve by the State Government, S.61 refers to the Powers or the Commissioners: The Commissioner has to Co-ordinate dework of departments of State Government Months and utilization of funds disbursed by the State Governoed. take steps to sategaard the rights and facilities on a coavailable to persons with disabilities, submit reponsitional State Government on the implementation of the Artistation intervals as that Coverement may prescribe and forward in copy thereof to the Chief Commissioner, By S.cl. or, Commissioner is empowered to look into complaints reverse to deprivation of rights of persons with disobilities and a says that the Chief Commissioner and the Commission of of the States shall for the purpose of discharging their functions under the Act, have the same powers as acvested in a court under the Code of Civil Procedure, which trying a suit. The powers are .

- summorting and enforcing the attendance of witnesses.
- (b) require (the discovery and production (4)) document.
- requisitioning any public record or vonthereof from any court or office.
- (d) receiving evidence on affidavits, and
- (e) Issuing commissions for the examplescence witnesses or documents
- S-59, 62 and 63 referred above are relevant for the purpose of this case

12. If the rights of do. sted persons are denied by any establishment. Classic Commissioner and the Commissioners in states concomment the greeness of such disabled persons. For a unidering such applications tertain powers of a civil contract conferred on the Chief Commissioner and the Commissioners by \$.63 of the Act. It is for the limited purpose that the power of civil court are conferred on the officers. It is they does not mean that the jurisdiction of court is excluded by the provisions of the Act. On the other hand it is clear from \$.72 that the Act is in addition to and not in decoration of any other law. \$.72 reads:

"The provisions of task Act, or the rules made increander shall be in additional, and not in deregation of any other law for the time beling an force or any rules, order or any instructions issued the reunder, enacted or issued for the benefit of persons." "A distabilities"

Therefore this court is the parisdiction to adjudicate an issue with regard to demand rights of a disabled person under the provisions of the fact 8.47 gives protection to an employee who acquires the about during his service.

Establishment is defined in S.2(k) of the Act as tollows:—

restablishment" more a corporation established by or under a Central. They mainly or State Act, or an authority or a body or exclude controlled or aided by the government or a local authority or a Government company as defined as Section 617 of the Companies Act, 1956, and conjudes. Departments of a Government".

- 13. At the time of the control of the service of the workman he was aged 57 m; the tool 3 more years to serve before superamnation. As ser 8.47 even if the workman was totally disabled to discharge any duty still the bank could not have terminated this service, but should base kept him on a supernumerar, post and his superannuation. Therefore the termination of the service of the workman is itlegal and in violation of 8.47 of the Act.
- 44. Point No. 2 := 4a (993 when the workman met with the accident he was areal below 55 years. He was terminated from service in March 1997. He had made representation to the Management for getting employment on compassionate ground to one of his dependants. Ext W 5 is a copy of the representation dated 1-2-1997. According to the workman the macropenent did not consider his representation and waited of March 1997 to terminate him from service. The family of the workman is in difficulty due to lack of income. As per tire circular of the bank dated 31-3-83, the Board of Directors could have given employment to one of the dependants of the workman on compassionate ground. On the other hand it is submitted

by the learned counsel for the management that the workman is not eligible for employment on compassionate ground because the dependent wife of the workman has crossed the age of superanauation now. The scheme formulated for compassionate employment envisages providing a job to one of the dependants of the employee who dies in harness and also to the dependants of an employee opting to retire voluntary on medical grounds before attaining the age of \$5 years. In the case of workman none of these factors are present to make a claim for employment on compassionate ground. Moreover he had crossed the age of 55 years at the time of termination of his service making him ineligible for compassionate employment. Moreover it is not a part of service conditions of an employee and cannot be claimed as of right. The union fairly concedes that the workman does not fall under any of the categories made mention in the scheme of the bank for employment on compassionate ground reence I find that the workman is not eligible for claiming employment on compassionate ground.

15. Point No. 3:—Since the termination of the service of the workman is illegal as it is in violation of S.47 of the Act he is entitled to be treated as continuing in service till the date of superannuation. He is also eligible for all service benefits including continuity of service, arrears of wages etc. from the date he was terminated from service i.e., from 20-03-1997 till superannuation.

In the result an award is passed finding that the action of the management in terminating the service of Sri R.S. Pai by order dated 16-12-1996 w.e.f. 20-03-1997 is illegal and unjustified. He is entitled to be treated as an employee in service as envisaged in S.47 of Act 1 of 1995 till the date of superannuation with all consequential benefits like back wages, continuity of service etc. However his wife is not entitled for employment on compassionate ground.

The award will come into force one month after its publication in the Official Gazette.

Dictated to the Personal Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and passed by me on this the 23rd day of May, 2008.

P. L. NORBERT, Presiding Officer

## Appendix Exhibits for the Union

W 1 -	Photostat copy of Medical re-
	imbursement claim of Sri.R.Samharam
	Pai.

W2	- 03-10-1 <b>996</b>	Sanction order issued by Syndicate
		Bank in respect of the medical
		reimbursement claim of Sri.R.
		Santharam Pai

 W3 - 01-09-1996 Photostar copy, of leave application submitted by workman.

W4	- 16-12-96	Photostat copy of termination order.
W5	- 01-12-97	Photostat copy of representation submitted by workman to the management.
W6	- 14-03-97	Photostat conv. of representation

 W6 - 14-03-97 Photostal copy of representation submitted by Union before the ALC (C) Kochi.

W7 - 05-05-97 Photostat copy of representation submitted by union before the ALC (C) Kochi.

W8 - 20-05-97 Photostat copy of representation submitted by Unio. Defore the ALC (C) Kochi.

W9' - 20-05-97 Photostat copy of minutes of discussion he'l before the ALC (C) Kochi.

W-10 - 31-03-83 Photostat copy of circular No.87/83/ BC/PER/22/HRDD of the Syndicate Bank.

## **Exhibits for the Management**

M.L. - 15-05-96 Photostat copy of medical certificate.

M2 series 5 Nos. Leave application submitted by workman and orders passed by management on leave applications.

नई दिल्ली, 6 अक्तूबर, 2008

का. आ. 2992. औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की भारा 17 के अनुसरण में कंन्द्रीय सरकार जवाहर नवोदय विद्यालय के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार अधिकरण न. 1, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 115/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो कंन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एस-42012/279/2002-आई आर (सीएम-(I))] अज़य कुमार गीड्, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th October, 2008

S.O. 2992.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 115/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No 1. Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 6-10-2008

[No.1,-42012/279/2002-IR(CM-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHIG CVANENDRA KUMAR SHAROSA.
PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL COVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL GEORGE SALCUM-LAROUR CORP.

ERRANDIGARD

Case No. 1D 145-1933

Sh. Rajbir, Stephilos v. et Sieuft R/o Village & Polisson stephilos The Charkbi Dadi (146), et des

9 OF SUP

The Principal, and the Principal California

Devrala, Distriction of the property

Bhiwani

#### STREAM COM

For the working and Cookarpin in person

For the manager: https://www.sandorgi.Patherwise.com/

558 (**RD** 11.1. 23.9(228)2648

Central Government vide Notification No. 1. CPU 279/2002-IR(CPC) and diver 08-08-26/3, has not leave following disputes to this Tribunal for adjunction of

"Whether the action of the management of Navodaya Vidhahiya, libit vanion terminating the section of Sh. Rajbir S o Sh. Amar Singh, Electric immensional Helper welf, 21-8-2001 is just and legal? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to:

2. The present reference was made by the Contra-Government on the tailing of conciliation proceedings the adjudication of the matter referred in the schedule referred above and the work onto prayed for declaring the action of the management as they and invalid and for refers there is in service with full back wages and all consequent in beautisin the interest of justice, earlier and fair play.

The manuscream turned up and oppose of application.

As per offices is mercondum dated site 1-08, consensuals fixed in pre-locations account on 12-8-68 term of special by adopting the consensual and conciliation not shows. With the efforts of the followal, the workman special withdraw his research. Roth the representation of the management She Postskish in K principal and the two can of made a statement that it is agreed between the parties that the workman will work an daily wages as security guarded per D.C. rates and nominal charges will be deducted a account of fooding and lodging and workman will withdrawhis reference. It is propose to dispose off this research of Lok Adalat. Accordingly the reference is returned to the Central Govt, as so that in Lok Adalat. Central Content to be informed. Filled the westerned to record

Chandigath 22-8-08

THE R. SHARMA, Presidence of

नई दिस्की 🔨 अक्टूबर, 2008

का, आ, 2993,—औद्धांगक विवाद अधिनयम, 1947 (1947 को 14) की धारा ११ के अनुसरण में कंन्द्रीय मरकार उत्तर रेलवे के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियालकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच. अनुबन्ध में निर्दित्त औद्योगिक (१०६४ में कंन्द्रीय मरकार ओद्योगिक अधिकरण, कानपुर के पंचार १२० ११ में, (१९,1997) को प्रकाशिक एको है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को ७, १०, 2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एस 400 1 (30/1996-आई आर (ची-[)) भूजय कमार, डेस्क अभिकारी

New Delhi, the oth October, 2008.

**S.O.** 2993. In pure ance of Section 17 of the adostrial Disputes Act, 34.7.4.4 of 1947), the Counal Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 133-1997) of the Central Governos at focustrial Tribunal-cumboom Court. Kanpur as a sea in the Amexore, in the industrial Dispute between the management of Urtar Railway, and their workshes, which was received by the Central Government on 06.19,2008.

[No.1] (F012 130/1996-IR(B-0)] AVAV KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNENURE

BEFORE SHRIPE G SHUNDA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMEN UNDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, SHRAM BHAWAN ATT CAMPUS Udvog Nagar, Kanpur.

1D No. 133 of 97

Sri Shyam Lal son of D. K. Ilia Clo India Railway Employee's bederation 4-46 B. G. T. Road Railway (printers. Opposite Ganges Floor MCF) is upon

53.75

Divisional Superintending Is taineer. Ownsional Railway Manager's Office, Northern Railway, Allahabos

#### AWARO

1. Central Governa cot. Ministry of Labour vide Notification No. L-41012/130 (996-IR(B-I)) dated 20-07-97, has referred the following 3-spaces for adjudication to this Tribunal---

Kya Mandal Adhikshak Abbiyantac Utter Railway Allahabad dwara Sri Shyani Lal Gangman ke Dinank 9-11-95 se sewa se mukt kama Nyayochit Hai? Yadi nahi to sembandhit Karinkar kis Anatosh ka huqdar hai?

2. The case of the work man is that he was working under PWI (I) Northern Radwas, Kanpur, and was absorbed as the post of Gangman by the opposite purty. The opposite party issued a charm sheet dated 17-11-1994 to

on unauthorized absence from duty from 13-03-94 to 22-09-94. Apart from the above it was also mentioned in the charge sheet that the workman also remained on unauthorized absence from duty for 12 days in the year 1989, for 20 days in the year1993 and for 25 days in the month of June 1995. The workman replied the charges where after disciplinary action under rules were initiated against the workman by the opposite party in which the Assistant Engineer Northern Railway, Fatehpur, was nominated as enquiry officer. It is also pleaded by the workman that before initiation of inquiry the workman vide his letter dated 12-04-95 made a request before the enquiry officer to provide him copies of documents listed in the charge sheet for making his effective defence and without providing the same opposite party started departmental inquiry against the workman. It is the further case of the workman that after getting leave sanctioned for the period 2-4-94 to 12-4-94 the workman had gone to his viltage and due to illness of her wife he could not report for his duty, after expiry of sanctioned leave and he informed about it by sending a telegram to the opposite party with request to extend leave for 20 days and the period of absence mentioned in the charge sheet is incorrect. It is alleged that the workman remained on Hurt on Duty for the period 16-2-94 to 21-3-94 and, also remained on sanctioned leave for the period 2-4-94 to 12-4-94. If has also been pleaded by the workman that he actually remained, absent from his duty from 13-4-94, the reason for which was the illness of her wife and the workman remained busy in her treatment. It has been further pleaded that prior to the present charge sheet the opposite party issued him charge sheet in which charges for his alleged absence in the year 1989, 1993 and 1994 have been settled by the opposite party which is evident from order dated 11-11-94. The workman was issued show cause notice dated 11-8-95 in which it the workman was directed to reply the same within a period of 15 days. Although it was mentioned in the alleged show cause notice that a copy of inquiry report is enclosed with the same but in fact no such copy was ever provided to the workman in respect of which the applicant requested in writing on 1-9-95 and in this way in the absence of the copy of inquiry report, the workman remained handicapped to reply the show cause notice effectively in his defence and ultimately the disciplinary authority without providing him opportunity of his defence passed final orders dated 9-11-95 whereby the services of the workman were removed by the opposite, party. The punishment orders dated 9-11-95 is illegal and void in the eye of law and has been passed without proper application of mind by the disciplinary authority. The workman preferred an appeal against the final order before the appellate authority on 22-12-95, which has not been decided till date. On the basis of above it has been prayed that the punishment order dated 9-11-95 being illegal and invalid be set aside and the workman be reinstated in the service of the opposite party with full back wages continuity of service and all consequential benefits.

the workman on the allegations that the workman remained

- The claim of the workman has been vehemently. disputed by the opposite party on the ground that the reference is ambiguous and misconceived too and is liable to be returned; the disputant parties as given in the reference order, are Shyam Lal son of D. K. Jha and the Railway Administration respecting one Shyam Lal Gangman; said Shyam Lal son of D. K. Jha has no authority to espouse or prosecute or conduct the case as he is neither a registered Trade Union nor has any authority to espouse the claim nor any individual can raise a dispute under section 10(1) of the LD.Act. The reference has been visibly procured on false misrepresentation and is not legally sustainable reference. Even otherwise no ShyamLal son of any D. K. Jha has ever remained as a gangman under opposite party therefore question of his alleged removal or discharge and or retrenchment never arises and at any rate the reference is in respecting a non-entity and espoused by an entity devoid of any locus standi and is liable to be replied accordingly and lastly it is pleaded that in all events the claim statement on record is a nullity in law and on facts and is worth no consideration therefore reference is also liable to be returned with a no claim award.
- On behalf of the workman Sri D, K. Jha has filed rejoinder statement in which nothing new has been pleaded except reiteration of the facts already pleaded in the statement of claim.
- 4. In the present case on behalf of the workman his wife Smt Sushila Devi examined her self as worker witness in support of the claim of the workman. Witness on oath has stated before the tribunal that during the pendency of the case her husband Shyam Lal expired in the year 1998 and that the original documents connected with the case has been filed in the case. She goes on to state that her husband was working as Gangman under P.W.I and that her husband was removed from service in the year 1995.
- 5. As is evident from the order sheet the management was debarred from evidence on 13-08-04 as they failed to adduce any evidence despite availing of a number of opportunities. Thus virtually there is no evidence worth the name in support of their case. Even management also failed to cross examine the workers evidence. Workers evidence has proved the documents which have been marked as Exhibit W-1 to Exhibit W-16.
- I have heard the arguments of the parties at length and have also gone carefully with the records of the case.
- 7. Ext.W-1 is the postal receipt of the telegram dated 16-04-94. Ext. W-2 is the certificate of Doctor Rajendra Prasad in which it has been certified that Smt. Sushila Devi wife of Sri Shyam Lal remained under his treatment from 13th March, 94 to 20th June 1994. Ext-W.3 is yet another certificate of the same doctor certifying that the Smt. Shushila Devi wife of Shyam Lal was under her treatment till 22-9-94, Ext. W-4 is copy of minor punishment order

and had been provided with the

in the opposite party which

acipie of law have caused serious.

case and under the facts and

is of the confirm opinion, that

dishove, having regard to the

• \* 1 \* workers side, the action of

or settled provisions of law that

the lens that the workinger had

at the opportunity of his defence.

signors of the workman. As

are fathat her husband during

or making effective defence

dated 11-11-94, into W-5 is the copy of charge show meet-17-11-94, Ext With indotter dated 12-4-95 of the works and addressed to the inquiry officer demandance of their documents in his defence, Ext. W-7 and W-8 (or the conof postal receipts. Ext.W9 is the copy of the proceedings dated 5/3/95, Ext.W-10 is copy of a governproceedings in which statement of workman with the statement of by the inquiry officer and cross examination of the control of wimess Sri SMA Siddiqui were recorded seed to the witness has admitted the fact that the works of a on Hart on Duty during the period 24-2-94 to 14.1 goes on to admit the fact that the workman separation is sanctioned leave for the period 2-4-94 in the leave Prosecution witness during the course of high categorically admitted the fact that period of above the same regularized imposing punishment of W.I.T for one ver-Assistant Engineer, Patchpur, Prosecution whose some expressed his ignorance about receipt of any  $(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ the workman acquesting twenty days leave above sanctioned leave but the fact that the work is as here as which sent a telegram extending leave for twenty dayestablished from Exhibit W-1 which is a regy of receipt of telegram (squed in the month of April 1900) is specific question posed to him by the inquity satisfies prosecution witness has admitted that the person of absence from 13-4-94 stands regularized over the second awarding punishment of WIT for one year are true workman vide order dated 11-11-94 and like (\$1.75 - 1.75) admitted that the period of absence stands (c. al. o. . i. . . HOD from 16:2-94 to 21-3-94. Period of absence from the to 12-4-94 as LAP and period 13-4-94 to 16-11 93 and 5-3 as absent, Ext.W-17 is the copy of show that the Ext.W-13 is the copy of letter dated 1-9-05 of a revolution addressed to the Divisional Railway Manuel. The Man demanding copy inquiry report on the least that although it is mentioned in the show cause and copy of inquiry report is enclosed with at his time in the conis found enclosed with the show cause notice. Fire 3, 100 c. final order dated 9-13-95 by means of which the value of was imposed panushment of removal from rail: 17 and lastly Ext. Will you the copy of appear process. workman before the appellate authority. Appel (1999) Ext. W-16 is the cass of postal receipt of researching a

8. As against a the apposite parphotocopies of certain documents in support 1. (1.3.) (1.46) but according to the settled provisions of law past in their of documents is not admissible peace of evaluation. proved before a court of law. Therefore, as is evident to a the management has been debarred from the classic enterphotocopies of documents filed by them cannot large our their case for any purpose and is of no help to them. The evidence of worker's side remains unconfine a cotherefore there is no reason to dishelieve the evidence or behalf of the working in by means of which the enteror is: worker stands proved to the effect that the works soonwis-

neither provided with the discussents mentioned in the charge sheet nor the vicopy of inquiry report according to the settle  $\langle \cdot |$ projudice to the work against the charges ... circumstances the trainfor the reasons discuuncontroverted evidenthe management cannot be below to be justified and legal. and thus is in breach. NO ONE SHOULD HER PROFIMMED UNHEARD Thus from the above it is a not been provided with . as required by the process of natural justice and rules. governing the service discussed above it is the bold that the action of the opposite party is him and of principles of natural justice and rules governed the service conditions.

9. Before summan world, the case it may be pointed out that it has come in the second detice where the wife of the workman has exacther lettos W.W.1 in support of the claim of her hasken. the course of pendence and the present case expired in the year 1998, therefore the select of reinstatement in the instant case is not position

attached with the pos-

Consequently the works of a she'd entitled for his full back. wages, continuity of some and all consequential benefits

10. Having cond and the action of the opposite party in removing the variation from the service of the railway with effect tree and it is recogner just nor legal at is held that the workness of a by entitled for entire back. wages till the date of an death together with other consequential benefits attached with the post on the premises as if the wood care is all never been removed from the service of the oping to party at, any point of time

> 11. Reference of sed in above terms.

> > SHUKLA, Presiding Officer

<sup>10</sup> (८) अक्स्बुबर, 2008

ালিক বিৰাহ জানবিৰম, (৩4) का, आ, 2994, (1947 को 14) की धारा 🐩 के अनुसरण में फोन्ट्रीय संस्कार युक्ती वैंक के प्रवंधतंत्र के संबद्ध (ं 'किकों और उनके कम्कारों के योच अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योक्ति जिवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालयः ६ २, चण्डीगढः के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 597/2KS) को प्रकाशित करतो है, जो केन्द्रीय संरक्षण की 06-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुए। भू: ।

[सं. एतः : 1002/168/2009/आई आर (वी-11)]

राजिन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

## New Delhi, the 6th October, 2008

S.O. 2994.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 597/2KS) of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No. 2, Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of UCO Bank and their workman, received by the Central Government on 06-10-2008.

[No. L-12012/168/2000-IR(B-ÎI)]

RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, SECTOR 18-A, CHANDIGARII

Presiding Officer : Shri Kuldip Singh

Case LD. No 597/2KS

Registered on: 23-8-2005 Date of Decision: 2-9-2008

Shri R.N. Chopra S/o Inderjeet Chopra R/o 234 Dada Colony Industrial Area, Jalandhar City, Jalandhar.

....Petitioner

#### Versus

UCO Bank, Zonal Manager, Zonal Officer, Chandigarh.
...Respondent

## APPEARANCES

For the workman

: Mr. O.P. Batra, Advocate,

For the management: Mr. N.K. Zakhmi, Advocate

#### AWARD

Vide their order No. L-12012/168/2000-IR(B-II) dated 16th of Feb, 2001 the Ministry of Labour government of India referred the following dispute for the adjudication of this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the Asstt. General Manager, UCO bank in terminating the services of Shri R.N. Chopra s/o Shri Inderjit Chopra is legal and just? If not, what relief the concerned workman is entitled to and from which date?

The reference was entered in the concernd register and notices were issued to the parties who appeared through their counsel. They filed their respective claims in the shape of statement of cliam, written statement, replication and supported the same with the affidavit of the workman and of Shri Harwail Singh Saini, Assit, General Manager of the Management. The Management placed on record the enquiry proceeding file and submitted that since the action against the workman was taken after holding domestic enquiry and which the workman has claimed not

to be fair, therefore, before proceeding further in the matter arguments on the fairness of the domestic enquiry be heard. In these circumstances the parties have argued on the fairness of the enquiry.

Briefly stated the claim of the workman is that he was posted as clerk in Gurmandi, Jalandar branch of the management Bank when he was served with a charge sheet. Before he could reply to the chargesheet the management intitiated the enquiry proceedings against him by violating principles of natural justice, equity and fair play. The enquiry was also conducted with malafide intentions so as to dismiss the workman from service. The enquiry officer did not consider the statement of the defendant. Had anything happened within the premises of the Gurmandi Branch of the Bank, the management would have lodged the FIR, but nothing such was done. The order dismissing the workman from service is wrong, unjustified and illegal. The order of appellate authority is also wrong and unjustified. Even otherwise the punishment awarded is disproportionate to the charges leveled against the workman. The workman has prayed for his reinstatement in service with full back wages and benefit of continuity of service.

The claim of the workman has been opposed by the Management, it stated by them that the reference is not maintainable; that the petitioner while posted in Gurmandi branch of the management bank committed serious acts of misconduct on 12th of Dec, 1998 for which he was charge sheeted. The petitioner took a number of opportunities to reply the charge sheet but did not file and tried to delay the matter. Sensing the intentions of the workman, the management initiated enquiry against him. During the enquiry proceedings the workman was given full opportunity to defend him. After due consideration of the enquiry report the disciplinary authority again issued notice to the workthan to show cause why the punishment of dismissal from service be not imposed on him. The petitioner replied the notice and also availed the opportunity of personal hearing. The disciplinary authority went through the enquiry report, the reply to notice given by the workman and the record of the enquiry file. After dispassionate consideration of the matter the disciplinary authority found. the charges leveled against the workman proved and imposed the punishment of dismissal from service on the workman. The workman went in appeal. The appellate authority though did not disturb the enquiry findings, yet took a leniont view of the matter and converted the penalty. of dismissal from service to that of removal from service with terminal benefits.

The workman filed replication, reiterated the facts stated in the claim petition and denied the claim made by the management in the written, statement. He however, admitted that he had filed a complaint about the alleged occurrence before Judicial Magistrate and the same was dismissed. Thus, he has admitted the occurrence and the dismissal of the complaint. His plea that no occurrence had taken place otherwise the management would have lodged the FIR, goes away.

From the pleadings of the parties it is clear that the services of the workman were dispensed with after holding a domestic enquiry. Domestic Enquiry in industrial cases has acquired great significance and industrial adjudication attaches considerable importance to such an enquiry. According to the Hon ble Supreme Court an enquiry is not an empty formality but an essential condition to the legality of the disciplinary order. In other words, before the delinguent workman can be dismissed for misconduct, the employer should hold a fair and regular enquiry into the-misconduct and dismissal without holding a regular enquiry would be illegality. It is also well settled that the disciplinary enquiry has to be quasi-judicial, should be held according to the principles of natural justice and the enquiry officer has a duty to act judicially. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case Central Bank of India Ltd versos Karunamony Baneriee, reported as (1967) 2LLJ 739, bas laid down the law that the rules of natural justice require that the workman proceeded against should be informed clearly of the charges leveled against him; witnesses should be normally examined in his presence in respect of the charges; if statements taken previously and given by the witnesses are relied on, they should be made available to the workman concerned; the workman should be given a fair opportunity to examine witnesses, including booself. in support of his defence; and the inquiry officer should record his findings based on the evidence so adduced Kamatka High Court in the case of GR Venkateshward Reddy versus Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation reported as (1995) LLLJ 1013, bas laid down the following requirements of reasonable procedure subject to any special provisions relating to procedure in the relevant rules, regulations, Standing Orders or a statute

- (a) the entployee shall be informed of the exist charges which he is called upon to meet;
- (b) he should be given an opportunity to explain any material relied on by the management to prove the charges.
- (c) the evidence of the management witnesses should be recorded in the presence of the delinquent employee and he should be given an opportunity to cross examine such witnesses,
- (d) the delinquent employee shall either be furnished with copies of the documents relied on by the management or be permitted to have adequate inspection of the documents relied on by the management;
- (e) the delinquent employee should be given the opportunity to produce relevant evidence—both documentary and oral which include the right to

- examine self and softer witnesses; and to call for relevant and material documents in the custody of the employer;
- (f) Whenever the happen and harity is different from disciplinary analogy to the delinquent employee shall be famished to the copy of the inquiry report and be permitted to make a presentation to the disciplinary problem by against the findings recorded in the magain report.

In this background is in the seen whether in this case the management host to bit a fair and proper enquiry against the workman or not so all his fairness, the counsel for the workman admitted a coordinat a proper enquiry was held in the case. He failed to proportion any laxity committed by the Enquiry Officer while conducting the enquiry. He, however, submitted that is consconduct alleged against the workman was his solutely act and taking that aspect into consideration the poin leaders awarded is very barsh. He has placed on record a very of the award passed by CGIT- cum-Labour Count (A indigarly in the case of V.K.Handa, who was also proceeded against for the misconduct he committed a long with the present petitioner in the same occurrence and grayed for taking a lement view in the matter. He turn or stoled that the workman is now dead and that is any bet reason for taking a compassionate view in the concer-

I have considere ( ), mose aspects and have also gone through the file calcode.

After examining the expect of the case I am of the opinion that the management say held a for and proper enquiry in the matter (i.e. workman was given full opportunity to defend be world. The misconduct alleged and proved against him was really serious one and the punishment award is not only proper but leatent and considerate. As proved, the conduct of the workman was that of a madman. He not only atsufted but also threatened and physically manhanated senior officials of the management in the full view of employees of the branch of the management bank. The evidence produced in the case show that the workman could not prove that he had any reasons to insult Shri K.J. Khanna, Senior Manager and Shri J.B.Bansal, Assistant Manager. He has also failed to show as to why the witnesses made statements against him. I do not find any reasons to disturb the punishment awarded to the workman. Goodble Supreme Court of India: in the case of MARINDRA AND MARINDRA LTD. VERSUS N. B. NARAV MOE, ETC, reported as 2005 LLR 360, has enumerated the circumstances in which the Industrial Tribunal can be to the with the quantum of punishment awarded by the mapagement. Their Lordships, has said that "Discretion in a inhable only on existence of

certain factors like punishment being disproportionate to gravity of misconduct so as to disturb conscience of the Court or existence of any mitigating circumstances". In that case the workman was found guilty in the enquiry of having used abusive and filthy language against the superior without provocation. The Labour Court found the punishment of dismissal from service as harsh and improper and substituted with reinstatement, continuity of service and 2/3rd back wages. The Supreme Court held the order of the Labour Court and that of the High Court upholding the order of the Labour Court unjustified and held that the punishment awarded was well justified and did not need interference with regard to quantum in the circumstances of the case. A similar view was taken by the Apex Court in the case of U.P. State Road Transport Corporation Versus Subhash Chandra Sharma and Ors reported as 2000 Factories Journal Reports Vol. 96 page 441 and in the case of L.K. Verma Versus H.M.T Ltd. & Ors., reported as 2006(1) Service Cases Today 601. They held that verbal abuses are sufficient for inflict a punishment of dismissal.

Deterrent punishment is must to maintain discipline at the workplaces. In the present case the misconouct was committed in a financial institution where the people pose confidence and expect orderly working therein. The conduct of the workman must have shocked the conscious of all those present and in the circumstances the punishment awarded was well justified. In the circumstances the workman is not entitled to any relief. The reference answered against him and the award is passed. Let a copy of it be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action and the file be consigned to records after due completion.

KULDIP SINGH, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 6 अक्तूबर, 2008

का. आ. 2995.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार सिण्डीकेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं. 2, चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 1054/2005) की प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 6-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-i2011/80/2003-आई आर (बी-I1)]

राजिन्द्र कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 6th October, 2008

**S.O. 2995.**—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 1054/2005) of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, No. 2 Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure, in the

Industrial Dispute between the management of Syndicate Bank and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 6-10-2008.

[No. L-12011/80/2003-JR(B-fi)]

RAJINDER KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

## CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, SECTOR 18A, CHANDIGARH.

Presiding Officer: Shri Kuldip Singb

Case I. D. No. 1054/2005

Registered on: 20-9-2005

Date of Decision: 17-9-2008

The State Secretary, Syndicate Bank Employees Union, C/o Syndicate Bank, Faridabad-[2100]

...Petitioner

## Versus

The Assistant General Manager, Syndicate Bank, Sarojini House, 6 Bhagwan Dass Road, New Delhi-1 10001

... Respondent

#### APPEARANCES

For the Workman : Mr. Dharam Singh A. R.

For the Management : Mr. Gopal Mahajan, Advocate

#### AWARD

At the request of representative of the workman, who claims himself to be the Chairman of Syndicate Bank Employees' Union, Chandigarh, the Union which has espoused the cause of the workman, the file has been summoned from records. It is stated by him that the managment has agreed to resolve the dispute between the parties favourably, therefore, the workman has authorized the union to withdraw from the contest of the present dispute. The statement of Shri Dharam Singh has been recorded and he has admitted the contents of letter. In the circumstances 1 do not feel it necessary to call the management and propose to answer the reference in their absence.

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour vide their letter No. L 120(1/80/2003-IR(B-II), dated 11th of September, 2003 referred the following dispute for the adjudication of this Tribunal;

"Whether the action of the management of Syndicate Bank represented through the General Manager. New Delhi by imposing the punishment of 'stoppage of one increment with cumulative effective withholding of wages for the suspension period of Sh. N.A. Sob. (Tierk: Stinagar Branch of Schole & Bank was just, feet and logal? If not, what refer is workman is control to and from which date?)

The notice of the reservice was given to the part. The workman appeared dirough representative who cost the management appeared through counsel. The workman filed his statement of claim to which the management filed written statement. The parties placed on record the afficiency of their witnesses. The workman appeared as whates whereas the management examined their witness of the cross-examined by the workman. The parties have placed on record an other of documents. The cross are now fixed for arguments on 15th of October, 2008 when the workman has requested for dismissing the reference withdrawn.

The amicable settement is the best settlement, diecase no doubt about it. Now when the workman has show his satisfaction about the auticipated settlement of a dispute, there is no point to go into the merits of the cose and reply the reference. Taking into account the prayer of the workman made through his union, the reference as disposed of as withdrawn and the award is passed holding that the workman is not entitled to any relief from this Tribunal. Let the copy of the award be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action and the file be consigned to records after due completion.

KULDIP SINGH, Presiding Office गर्ड विरक्ती, ६ अक्तूबर, 2008

का, आ. 2996, भीटोरिक विवाद अधिनयम, 19-1 (1947 का 14) की धार 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार दूर रण हं मेन्टेनेन्स सेन्टर के प्रवंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उत्कर क्रमंत्रका के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट आंद्योगिक विवाद में कन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण प्रश्न प्रयायालय न. 2, चण्डीयह के पंचाट (संदर्भ में 103/2005) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की 6-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 42012.143/2004] आई आर (सीएम 10.1] अजय कुमार गीड़, डेस्क ऑधकार New Dollai, the oth October, 2008

S.O. 2996. In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 103-2005) of the Central Govt, Industrial Tribunal-can-Labour Court No. 2, Chandigarlias shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Doordarshan Maintenanc Centre, and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on

6-10-2008.

[No. L-42012/143/2004-1R(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer ANNU - 136

CENTRAL GOVERNMEN — GENERAL TRIBUNAL CUM-LABOUR CONTENT & SECTOR 18-A. CHANGE ARR.

Presiding Officer: Shri Kasalo Single

Case ID No. 103/2005

Registered on: 19-7-2005

Oate of Decision: 17-9-2008

Kanial Kiahoew Sto Shri (1990) d. Chi Shri Shibhedi Onawan, B. A. LLB, Leep (1991) and AR, new Power Colony, No. I, Sujanpur, P. H. (1992)

a. ...Petitkiner

Art .

The Incharger SI; Governo (a) a india, Doordassia, Maintenance Centre, Pailman, e

....Respondent

#### APPEARANCES

For the workman 11 Mr. Subhash Dhawan, Advocate,

For the management: Mr. Indee pt Singh Sidha, Advocate

#### AWARD

The workman is not present. He was not present on 30th of April, 2008 and not even on previous dates. He last attended this Tribunal on 180, aCJuly 2008 and requested for summoning the record of the management. On the direction of the Tribunal Sac. S.K.Puri, employee of the management appeared along with record on 15th of Octobr. 2007, 30th April, 2008 and so is present even today, but neither the workman nor his counsel appeared on these dates to examine the witness present along with record. If is in these circumstances a police under registered cover was issued to the workman vide postal receipt No 5771 dated 3rd of May, 2008. The notice sent has not been received back unserved not the workman has appeared. From his conduct it is clear that he is no more interested to prosecute his claim. In the accumstances the witness summoned is discharged.

The Government of (adv). Ministry of Labour vide their order No. L-42012/143 2004-IR(c/M-II), dated 29th of June, 2005 referred the following dispute for the adjudication of this Tribunal

"Whether the action of the management of Doordarshan Maintenance Centre represented through Incharge Sh. Govt of India. Doordarshan Maintenance Centre, Pathankot in terminating the services of Sh. Kamal Kishore, Water Boy w.e.f. February, 2001 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief he is entitled to and from which date?"

The notice of the reference was given to the parties who appeared through their counsel. The workman filed his claim petition and the management filed reply thereto. The workman filed the replication and his affidavit. The management filed the affidavit of Shri Shashi Kant Puri, their Assistant Engineer and also placed on record copies of a number of documents. As stated earlier, on the request of the workman the record as detailed in the application was also summoned, but the workman has failed to examine that record and to appear in the case.

The Claim of the workman is that he was appointed as Water Boy by the management w.e.f. 1st of April, 1996 and his services were terminated in February, 2001 without giving him notice or notice pay and retrenchment compensation, thus his termination from service is illegal. It is further his case that after the termination of his services, the management engaged Messrs. Shakunta Devi and other fresh hands ignoring the claim of the workman. The management has denied the claim of the workman, It is stated by them that the workman does not fall under the category of a workman since he had not served the management for Z40 days; that the workman was engaged on contract and was paid accordingly. On the completion of job awarded his services were discontinued. The management has denied that the workman was getting rupees 1728/- P.M in February, 2001 when the work allotted to him had come to an end. They have further denied that after the disengagement of the workman any other person was engaged. The workman appeared as witness and proved his affidavit WW1 and also relied upon annexure 20, muster rolls for June, 1999 mark-A and B. He claimed that he had worked from January to September, 1999 for the days as shown in the statements annexed with the written statement. He denied to have worked part time in the months of June, November and December, 2000 on a monthly rate of rupees 1000/- and claimed that he had worked for whole of the months. He admitted that he was getting salary @ 1000/- and 1200/- P.M but claimed to have worked whole of the day. He admitted the contents of statements exhibits M-1 to M 26 except M-19 and stated that it is wrong that he was not getting salary @ 1728/- in February, 2001. He denied that his services were terminated on the expiry of period of contract in February, 2001.

It is on record that the workman admitted the contents of documents exhibits M-1 to M-26 except M-19. These

very documents clinch the matter in dispute. All these documents read that the engagement of the workman was as part time contract labour and he was paid on daily wages which varied from time to time. The hours of working also were different. Some times he was paid @ 52/- per day and sometimes@ 72/- rupees per day. His hours of working also varied from three to four hours a day. The workman has not placed on record any document nor has produced any witness to show that he had been appointed in the service of management on regular or temporary. The evidence available on record rather shows that the engagement of the workman was on contract. Having been engaged on contract he was not entitled to any notice or notice pay or retrenchment compensation. There is, therefore, no ground to claim that the management violated the provisions of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 by disengaging him. He is therefore, entitled to no relief. The reference is answered against him and the award is passed. Let the copy of the award be sent to the Appropriate Government for necessary action and the fife be consigned to records after due completion,

KULDIP SINGH, Presiding Officer

ं नई दिल्ली, 8 अक्तूबर, 20**0**8

का, आ. 2997.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार डब्ल्यू. सी. एल. को प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 24/2007) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-22012/327/2005-आई आर (सीएम-।[)]

अजय कुमार गौड़, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th October, 2008

S.O. 2997.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 24/2007) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Western Coalfields Limited, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 8-10-2008.

[No. L-22012/327/2005-IR(CM-II)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

# BEFORE SHRI A.N.YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR

Case No.CGIT/NGP/24/07

Date: 29-9-2008

Petitioner/

: The President,

Party No.1

Koyla Shramik Sabha, Coal Estate, Civil Lines, Nagpur on behalf of Shri Sarjo

Prasad & Shri Ramdas Suryawanshi.

Versus

Respondent/ : Party No.2 The Sub-Area Manager, Western Coalfields Limited, Makardhokda Sub-area,

Tah, Umrer, Nagpur

#### AWARD

(Dated 29th September, 2008)

- 1. The Central Government after satisfying the existence of dispute between The President, Koyla Shramik Sabha, Coal Estate. Civil Lines, Nagpur on behalt of Shri Sarju Prasad & Ramdas Suryawanshi, (Party No. U and the Sub-Area Manager, Western Coalfields Limited. Makardhokda Sub-area, Tah. Umrer, Nagpur (Party No.2) referred the same for adjudication to this Tribinnal vide its letter No.L-22012/327/2005-IR(CM-II) dated 12-fie-2007 under clause (d) of sub-Section (1) and sub-Section (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 [E4 of 1947] with the following schedule.
- "Whether the action of the management of Western Coalfields Limited, Makardhokda Sub-area is legal and justified in denying promotion to the workman Shri Sarju Prasad & Shri Ramdas Suryawanshi to the post of FP Fitter (Exev.) Gr. "C" we.f. 5-12-2003? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?"
- 3. The case came up for hearing on 24-9-2008 on which the case was fixed for filing the statement of claim by the workman. Nobody appeared and both were absent when called. One perusal of Rojnana Order sheet shows that nobody is appearing for the Petitioner even from the first date which was fixed after issuing the notices to the parties. In fact, the Petitioner never appeared before the Court right from the beginning of the case. There are no reasons to continue to fix it for filing the statement of claim. Even the workman is not taking any interest and there are no reasons to continue the proceeding. Hence I distuissed it for default and pass the negative award.

Date: 29-9-2008

A. N. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, X अक्तूबर, 2008

**का. आ. 2998**, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, .ए.सा **(1942 का** 14) की धरा 17 के अनुसरण में कंन्द्रीय सरकार गंजनी सी. एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबंद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं. 31/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[**सं. ए**ल-22012/292/2001-आई आर (सीएम-1[)]

अजय कुमार गाँड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th October, 2008

**S.O.** 2998.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award. (Ref. No. 3) 2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cont-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of WCL. Western Coalfields Limited (H.Q.), and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 8-10-2008.

[No.1-22012/292/2001-IR(CM46)]

AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Office:

#### ANNEXURE

## BEFORE SHRI A.N.YADAY, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOURT COURT, NAGPUR

Case No.CGIT/NGP/31/03

Date: 29-9-2008

Petitioner/

: Shri S Q.Zama,

Party No. 1

General Secretary, Rashtriya Koyla

Khadao Mazdoor Sangh

(INTUC) C-3, Koyla Vibar, CivitUmes,

Nagpur

Versus

Respondent/ The

The Chief General Manager,

Party No.2 WCL, Nagpur Area, Jaripatka, Nagpor.

#### AWARD

(Dated 29th September, 2008)

- 1. The Central Government after satisfying the existence of dispute between Shri S Q Zama. General Secretary. Rashtriya Koyla Khadan Mazdoor Sangh (INTOC) C-3, Koyla Vibra, Civil I mes. Nagput (Porty No. 1) and The Chief General Manager. WCL. Nagput Area. Jaripatka, Nagput. (Porty No.2) referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal vide its letter No 1-22012. 292/2001-IR(CM-II) dated 28-01-2003 under clause (d) of suh-Section (1) and suh-Section (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act. 1947/14 of 1947] with the following schedule.
- 2. "Whether the action of the management of M's WCL, Nagpur in relation to Silewara Area in dismissing the workman Shri Mohammed Turng, Louder Token No 3842 from service w.e.f. 19-4-2001 (slegal and justified? If not to what relief the said workman is emitted to?

3. The reference came up for hearing on 23-9-2008 on which also the Petitioner and his Counsel are absent. They are not attending the case since last two years. He has not even filed a statement of claim. I do not think it proper to continue it on the same stage years together. It seems that the Petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case. In the cirumstances, no purpose will be served in continuing the case, hence it dismissed for the default of the Petitioner. I pass the negative award that he is not entitled for any relief.

Date: 29-9-2008

A. N. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 अक्तूबर, 2008

का. आ. 2999.--औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार इण्डियन क्यूरी आफ माइन्स के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, नागपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ सं, 231/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 8-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-22012/240/2002-आई आर (सीएम-॥)] अजय कुमार गौड, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 8th October, 2008

S.O. '2999.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947(14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 231/ 2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Indian Bureau of Mines, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 8-10-2008.

> [No. L-22012/240/2002-IR(CM-II)] AJAY KUMAR GAUR, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

## BEFORE SHRI A. N. YADAY, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOURT COURT, NAGPUR

Case No.CGIT/NGP/231/03

Date: 25-9-2008

Petitioner/ Party No.1

: Shri Gurusingh S/o Shri Shankar Singh Thakur, Plot No.132, Rambhau Mahalgi Nagar, Hudkeshwar Road, Nagpur

#### Versus

Party No.2

Respondent/ : 1. The Controller General, Indian Bureau of Mines, Indira Bhawan, Civil Lines, Nagpur; and

> The Executive Engineer (Elect). C.P.W.D., Bungalow No. A-B, Civil Lines. Nagpur.

#### AWARD

- 1. The Central Government after satisfying the existence of dispute between Shri Gurusingh S/o Shri Shankar Singh Thakur, Nagpur (Party No.1) and 1. The Controller General, Indian Bureau of Mines, Indira Bhawan, Civil Lines, Nagpur, and 2, the Executive Engineer (Elect), C.P.W.D., Bungalow No. A-B, Civil Lines, Nagpur (Party No. 2) referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal vide its letter No.L-22012/240/2002-IR(CM-II) dated 30-9-2003 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and subsection (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) with the following schedule.
- 2. "Whether the action of the management of Indian Bureau of Mines through its Head of Office, Nagpur in terminating the services of Shri Gurusingh S/o Shri Shankar Singh Thakur as a 'Lift Operator' is proper, legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?
- 3. The reference came up for hearing on 12-9-2005 on which the Respondent was absent. The Petitioner has not filed a statement of claim despite of the application dt, 18-7-2005 submitted for extension of time to file statement of claim. It seems that the Petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the case. In the circumstances, no purpose will be served in continuing the case, hence it dismissed for the default of the petitioner and I am passing the negative award that he is not entitled for any relief.

Date: 25-9-2008

A. N. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 8 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ, 3000,—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सीनियर सुपरिन्टेन्डेन्ट ऑफ पोस्ट ऑफिस के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नागपर - के पंचाट ( संदर्भ संख्या सीजीआईटी/एनजीपी/34/05) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रोय सरकार को 8-10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था ।

> [सं. एल-40012/141/2004-आई आर (डी.यू.)] अजय कुमार्, डेस्क अधिकारी:

New Delhi, the 8th October, 2008

S.O. 3000.--In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. CGIT/ NGP/34/05) of Central Government Industrial Tribunalcum-Labour Court, Nagpur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Sr. Supdt. of Post Office, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 8-10-2008.

> [No. L-40012/141/2004-JR (DU)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

## BEFORE SHRI A.N. YADAY, PRESIDING OFFICER, CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NAGPUR

Case No. CGIT/NGP/34/05

Date: 30-9-2008

Petitioner/Party No.1

Shri Pravin Dattugi Junghare,

Walani Mine Post Office, Quarter No. 245, Taluka Szoaci District; Nagpur

#### Versus

Respondent/Party No. 2 The Senior Superintendent of Post Office, Nagpur Mofussil Dn., Nagpur,

#### AWARD

(Dated: 30th September, 2008

- 1. The Central Government after sansfying the existence of dispute between Shri Pravin Dattigi Junehare. Walani Mine Post Office, Quarter No. 2-15, Taluka Science District Nagpur (Party No.1) and the Senior Superintendent of Post Office, Nagpur Mofussil Dn., Nagpur (Party No. 2) referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal vide its letter No. L-40012 T41-2004-IR (DU) dated 10-3-2005 hoder clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) with the following schedule:
- 2. "Whether the action of the management of Postai Dapartment through its Sr. Supdr of Post Office - Nagpur Mofassil Dn. Dhantoli Nagpur-12 (MS) in termination of service of Shri Pravin Dattugi Junghare, on FN-1-DMC. Walani Colliery Post Office, Taluka Sagner, Distr Nagpur is proper, legal and justified? If not, to what reflet to the disputant workman is entitled to?"
- 3. The reference came up for hearing on 25-2-2008 on which also the Petitioner and his counsel were advent. On perusal of the Rajnama, it indicates that the Petitioner of the filling the Affidavit, the case was fixed for cross-secummation of the Petitioner. However, he is not attending the Count from 8-1-2007. His counsel is also not after dought excess it shows that the Petitioner has no interest in cross. Only the case. There are no reasons to continue the received and the reference is dismissed for default of the Petitioner and I pass this negative award, that he is not contiled for any relief.

Date: 30-9-2008

A N.YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 10 अक्टूबर, 2008

का,आ, 3001,—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, क्या निर्णाल का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मिरियर शेविय ग्रामीण बैंक के प्रयोधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनक कमकार में बीच, अनुबंध में निर्देश्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार शेवियर के

अधिकरण, प्रतबाद तो.। क (चिट : एटर्म संख्या 281/2000) की प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सनकर को 10/10-2008 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

> [सं. एल-१२०(२)(२०२०००-आईआर(वी-१)] अजय कपार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 10th October, 2008.

S,O. 3001,—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 281/2000) of Central Government industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of Mithila Kshetriya Gramin Bank, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 10-10-2008.

[No.L-12012/179/2000-IR (B-1)] AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

## BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO.1) AT DHANBAD PRESENT

## Shri H. M. Saugh, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10 (1) (d) and 2 (A) of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947

## Reference No. 281 of 2000 PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Mithila Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Darbhanga and their workman

#### APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman . . . . Mr. K. Chakravarty.

Advocate.

On behalf of the employers Mr. D. K. Verma,

Advocate

State: Tharkhand Industry: Banking.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 22nd September, 2008.

#### AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10 (1) (d) of the LD. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this (ribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-12012-179-2000 JR (B-1) dated the 19th September, 2000.

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the worsman Shri Ramakant Sahu has worked for 240 days in the Ujjaina Branch of Mithala Kshetriya Gramio Bonk. It yes, whether the action of the management of Mithala Kshetriya Gramin Bank in terminating the services of Shri Ramakant Sahu Casual Worker is legal and justified? If not, what relief the workman concerned is entitled."

- 2. Written Statement has been filed on behalf of the concerned workman stating therein that he has been working as permanent workman in permanent nature of job since 30-5-97 to 24-5-99 continuously and regularly with unblemished record of service. He was originally appointed on 30-5-97 against permanent vacancy and since the date of joining he had been working as cleaning/sweeping mazdoor regularly to the satisfaction of the management. It has been alleged that the management was not maintaining statutory and other records about the engagement of the concerned workman in order to camouflage the real issue and to exploit the petitioner taking advantage of his poor financial condition. It has been further asserted that the concerned workman was working regularly under the direct control and supervision of the management and had put in more than 240 days of attenance in each calendar year. As soon as the concerned workman started demanding  $6.0 \, \mathrm{Mz}$ regularisation the management orally stopped the concerned workman from duty. Thereafter the concerned workman represented before the management several times against the illegal and arbitrary termination of service but without any effect. Thereafter the concerned workman raised industrial dispute before the ALC (C), Patna which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal. It has been further stated by the concerned workman that the management stopped the concerned workman from duty without complying the provisions of Section 25F of the I.D.Act and without following the principle of natural. Justice. Accordingly it has been prayed on behalf of the workman that an Award be passed in favour of the workman directing the management to reinstate the concerned workman to his original job with full back wages and other attendant benefit.
- In the W.S. submitted by the management it has been stated that the present reference is not legally maintainable. This is an individual dispute and as such the same is beyond the scope of adjudication by the Hon ble Tribunal. It has been submitted that the concerned workman was terminated from his services w.e.f. 25-5-1999. and he did not raise any demand either before the management or before the ALC (C) for a long period. He for the first time made his representation before the conciliation officer on 30-11-1999 and the conciliation officer issued notice to the management on 22-12-1999 for attending his office relating to the case of the concerned workman. Therefore, the concerned workman raised the present demand after a long period of more than six months before the ALC (Central) Patna and the present reference should not have been made by the appropriate Government. It has been stated further that there is a general instruction to all the branches of the Bank to engage a local coolie on contractual basis for an hour or so for a day on the days of his engagement during temporary absence of the permanent messenger to bring drinking water and to fill the same in a pitcher for the staff members and to clean and sweep the Branch office. Accordingly the Head Office of the Bank had instructed the Ujjaina Branch vide letter
- dt. 21-6-1997 to engage a local person for sweeping the branch office premises and fetch drinking water for the staff. The concerned workman was never taken in Bank's service and his name is not at all on the attendance register of the Ujjaina branch. The concerned workman has obtained some Bank's papers/documents and get their photocopied which does not indicate that he was in Bank's Services. Further it has been stated that the Bank is a loss making Bank and is already having surplus staff in all cadres including messenger cadre due to merger of loss making Branches and conversion of some of our Branches in tosatellite centres and hence, here is no vacancy in 4th Grade cadre in this Bank, Moreover, there exists only one permanent sanctioned post of Messenger-cum-Sweeper for that village Branch office Ujjaina of the Bank and that post already been filled up by a permanent staff of Class IV. cadre, the question of regularising the concerned workman could not and did not arise. It has been further stated that the concerned workman was never appointed and taken in Bank's service, hence there is no question of his working in the Ujjaina Branch of Bank for 230 days in a calendar year. Therefoe, the question of termination of the services of the concerned workman does not and cannot arise. The question of compliance of Section 25F violating the principle of natural justice can never arise. Accordingly it has been stated by the management that an Award be passed in favour of the management rejecting the claim of the concerned workman.
- 4 Both sides have filed rejoinder admitting and denying the contents of some of the paras of each other's W.S.
- 5. The workman side in order to substantiate his claim has examined W.W-1 Rama Kant Sao and proved Ext.W-1. Management examined M.W-1 Ganesh Verma and proved Ext.M-1. M-1-1 and M-2.
- Ld. Counsel for the workman argued that he has called for two items of documents vide petition dated 29-10-2001 regarding payment vouchers for the period 30-5-97 to 25-5-99 and letters dated 23-7-98 and 17-5-99. But the management have failed to file those documents and therefore adverse inference has to be drawn against the management, In this respect as per oral evidence the concerned workman has stated in his cross-examination that he was appointed verbally and no appointment letter was given to him and that he had not filed any application. for supplying a copy of this letter. The Branch Manager gave him suo moto. He has further stated in his crossexamination that " it is not a fact that there was no mention of my name in the original letter dated 17-5-99. Vide Ext.M-1 but I have filed the xerox copy of the same by entering my name vide Ext.M-1/1." No appointment letter has been filed by the workman. Verbally appointment of any workman cannot be made by any institute. As per photo copy of the letter dated 21-6-97 (M-1/1) it shows that the said letter has been issued by the Branch manager, Mithila Kshetriva Gramin bank wherein the name of the concerned workman

is mentioned. But in the original (Ext.M-1) his name does not figure anywhere. Ld. Counsel for the workman argued that MW-1 stated in his deposition that he was not posted in the Branch during the period from 30-5-97 to 25-5-99. This does not affect in any way the case of the management. Managers and officers come and go in course of their employment. MW-1 in his cross-examination stated that cleaning job and the job of messenger are continuous in nature and are required to be performed regularly. He has also stated in his deposition that he does not have the personal knowledge in regard to the engagement of the concerned workman and that as to for how long in a month the concerned workman worked in the said branch of the Bank. He has also stated in his deposition in crossexamination that he knows about those documents which were called for from the management at the instance of the concerned workman, and that it is true that the records are maintained in the Bank so far as complete financial transactions are concerned. He has stated that the records are maintained in the Bank to show as to when and how the reimbursement was made and from the records it can be gathered as to how much amount was made to the workman working on casual basis and for how many days. It shows that the management has not produced the documents called for by the workman in order to suppress the truth. It also shows that management does not want to come with clean hands.

- 7. Ld. Counse) for the workman based his argument on Ext.W-1 which is a letter written by the Bronch Manager. Mithila Kshetriya Grantin Bank to head office of the said Bank regarding engagement of the concerned workman @ Rs. 10 per day is daily wages. This letter has been written with a view to enhance his wages from Rs. 10 as this is insufficient. This letter only shows that the concerned workman has been engaged on daily wage basis.
- 8. In this respect the workman side has referred decisions of the Hon ble Pana High Court reported in 1980 Lab LC 669 in which Hon ble Court beld "Industrial Disputes Act (1947) Sections 2 (k), 2A bidustrial dispute-Seasonal factory. Removing a workman from permanent service and allowing him to continue as seasonal worker-Dispute as to. Amounts to an industrial dispute. In the present case the workman concerned is not in permanent service. Ed. Coursel for the workman also referred to another decision reported in LEE, J. 1986 page 1.27 in which Hon ble Supreme Court laid down the following.

Industrial Disputes Act - 1947 + Ss. 2 (not. 2 (not. 2 A 25B, 25F, Schedule V Item No. 10 - Name of employed who is provided with work whenever available Smick off from the list of Tikka Mazdoor. Refusal to provide work - No written orders of termination on the specious plea that he was disqualified for the post being a Matriculate, based on confidential Circular. Action of the Management amounts to retrenchment and the stane is in violation of the mandatory provisions. The dispute comes squarely within S.2 (A) of the LD. Act. Deliberate refusal to

engage the employee continuously amounts to unfair labour practice."

I.d. Counsel for the workman also referred to a decision reported in 206 (198) FLR 213 in which Hon'ble Supreme Court laid down the following:—

Industrial Disputes Act. 1947—Section 25F—Burden of proof—As to the completion of 240 days of continuous work in a year—Is on the claumant to show that he had worked for 240 days—Burden is discharged as a wimess—However mere affidavits or self-serving statements by etaimant/workman will not suffice in discharge of burden.

Daily wages - State traceriment - Should take steps to maintain proper a cords of services rendered by daily wagers.

Industrial Disputes A.A. 1947 - Section 10 - Evidence Act - In terms do to Capply to proceedings under section 10 of the Act

Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 - Section 25-F Continuous work for 240 days - Since initial burden was not discharged by workman - Industrial Court High Court had exced in ordered reinstalement solely on an adverse inference drawn erroneously.

Ld. Counsel for the workman also referred to another decision reported in 2002 (2.1 L. N. 368 in which Hen'ble Supreme Court laid down the following:

Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 - Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act. 1970. S-10 Retrenchment. In favorial dispute raised on Retrenchment of sorkment - Appellant -couplayer contending that work may were not direct employees contract labources and fact, on appreciation of facts holding that works in were not contract labources but were direct employees and should be deemed to be continuing in service - Whether the Imbunul traversed beyond the reterence - Held when the appellant had claimed workmen to be contract workers the name of their employment. had necessarily to be decided. Order of Tribunal capnot be scriously assuited."

9 Ld, counsel for the management referred to a decision reported in 2008 (2) (I.JR - 282 (SC)). Secretary State of Karnataka. Appellants & Ors. vs. Unia Devi & Ors. respondents in which Hon'ble Supreme Countaid down the following:

Constitution of India Article 226 - wide powers thereunder-Courts should desist from issuing orders for continuance of those who have not secured regular appoints as per established procedure - wide powers under Article 226 are not intended to be used for the purpose of prepetuating illegainties irregularities or introprieties or for southing the whole scheme of public condayment. This Court is the

Courts are not to ignore, encourage or approve appointments made or engagements given outside the constitutional scheme.

2006 (2) SCALE 115 : JT 2006 (2) SC 1: 2006 (2) PLJR (SC) 1: 2006 (2) JLJR (SC) 80- Relied upon. AJR 1984 SC 363- Referred to.

Service Law- Appointments-no employment is envisaged outside the constitutional scheme and without following the requirements set down thereinequality of opportunity is the hallmark-provisions exist for affirmative action to ensure that unequals are not treated equals—though the Government is not precluded from making temporary appointments. regular appointments must be the rule - Constitutional scheme of public empolyment flows from Articles 14, 16, 315, 320 and 335—in absence of any right to a post or to a particular status, appointment cannot be deemed to be valid-directions for re-engagement of such persons in any other work would make judicial process another mode of recruitment de hors the rules. (Paras 10, 12, 22, 24, 27 and 40)

Service-Law - Regularisation only something that is irregular for want of compliance with one of the elements in the process of selection which does not go to the root of the process, can be regularised and that it alone can be regularised granting permanence of employment is a totally different concept-Courts ought not to imose a financial burden on the State of directions for regularisation or permanence. (Paras 14 and 17)

1967(1) SCR 128. (1972) 2 SCR 799 (1979) 3 SCR 937 Relied upon.

1988 (1) SCR 598: 1989 Suppl. (2) SCR 513-Distinguished.

1990 (1) SCR 544 - Not binding precedent.

1991 Suppl. (3) SCR 553 -Relied upon.

Service Law-Appointment equality-rule of equality in public appointments is a basic constitutional feature -unless appointment is in terms of relevant rules and after a proper competition same would not confer any right on the appointees - contractual appointment ends with the contract daily wages or casual appointment ends with discontinuation -temporary appointee cannot claim permanency on expiry of the term-by merely working for a long time one does not acquire a right for regularisation-such persons cannot invoke doctrine of legitimate expectation.

1973 Suppl. SCR 1: 1999 Suppl. (5) SCR 229: (1987) 1 SCR 798 (2004) 7 SCC 122 - Relied upon.

(1990) LSCR 544: (1992) 3 SCR 826 - Discussed.

Service Law -Absorption - High Court should not U/Art 226, ordinarily issue directions for absorption regularisation or permanent continuance unless the recruitment itself was made regularly and in terms of the constitutional scheme - merely because an employee had continued under cover or an order of

the court (litigious employment) he would not be entitled to be absorbed or made permanent. (Para 34) Service Law- Pay - equal pay for equal work - while accepting employment, daily wages or casual or temporary, person concerned knows the nature of his empolyment and wages to be paid - daily wagers form a class by themselves - they cannot claim discrimination with regular employees - equal work they cannot be treated at par with regular employees - Articles 14 and 16 not attracted (Paras 36 and 39).

As per law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the Uma Devi's case persons on daily wage casual basis are not entitled to be regularised even though in the present case management has not come with clean hands and has not filed record to this Tribunal to assess the case of the workman. Hence, the following Award is rendered:—

"As the concerned workman Ramakant Sahu has failed to prove that he has worked for 240 days the question of his termination by the management of Mithila Kshetriya Gramin Bank does not arise. Consequently, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief."

H. M. SINGH, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 21 अक्तूबर, 2008

का.आ. 3002.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम. 1948(1948 का 34) की धारा -) की उपधारा-(3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तमों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा । नवम्बर. 2008 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती हैं, जिसको उक्त अधि नियम के अध्याय-4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी हैं) अध्याय-5 और 6 [धारा-76 की उप धारा (1) और धारा-77. 78. 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले हो प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी हैं] के उपबन्ध पश्चिम बंगाल के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात,

"जिला बर्दमान के बामुनारा एवं बॉसकोपा मौजा के क्षेत्रों को शामिल करके।"

[ सं. एस-380!3/41/2**008-एस.**एस.1 ]

्रस. डो. जेवियर, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 21st October, 2008

S.O. 3002.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st November, 2008 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI (except sub-section (i) of Section 76 and Section 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force) of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of West Bengal namely:—

"Areas comprising Mouzas of Bamunara and Banskopa in the District of Burdwan".

[No S-38013/41/2008-S, S, 1] S.D. XAVIER, Under Secv.

## नई दिल्ली, ३० अक्तूबर, २००४

का, आ. 3003. कंन्द्रीय सरकार, सिनेमा कर्मकार कल्याण निधि अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 33) की धारा ५ के अनुमरण में, वर्ष 2007-2008 के लिए उच्च अधिनियम के अधीन लेखाओं का विवरण और वित्त पोणित क्रियाकलाणों की रिपोर्ट, अनुसूची-) और अनुसूची-2 के अनुसार क्रमरा: निष्न प्रकार से प्रकाशित करती है :

## अनुसूची .- 1

## वर्ष 2007-2008 के लिए सिनेमा कर्मकार कल्याण निधि के लेखाओं का विवरण आव

1-4-2007 को आर्राधक वकाया	रू । उन्न करीद
<b>वर्ष के दौरान अं</b> तरित उपकर	स. १.३५ करोड्
कुल आय	म, ३,०० कगह

#### ष्यय

## पुख्य शीर्ष 🕟 2230

## 01,110 सिनेमा कर्मकार कल्याण निधि 01,110,02- प्रशासन

तंखा का शीर्ष	 इकाई	 ন্যায়
		(हजार रुपए में)
02.00.11	घरेलू वात्री स्थय	(20
02.00.13	्रकार्यालय च्यय	94
		214

## मुख्य शीर्ष - 2230

## 01,110 मिनेमा कर्मकार कत्याण निधि 01,110,04- स्वास्थ्य

	 इकाई	च्य
	(हाजीप म 	प्रस्, मं∶
04.00.01	वंतन	3083
04.00.02	मजदूरी	8
04,00.6	चिकिल्सीय उपचार	4,3
04.00.13	घरेल् यात्रा त्यय	125
04.00.13	कार्यालय व्यय	270
04.00.14	किसपा, दर और कर	55
04.00.21	सामग्री और प्रदाय	प्रान्
04.00.50	अन्य प्रभार	1656
	 योग	เกษ

## मुख्य शीर्व - 2230

## 01,110 सिनेमा कर्मकार कल्याण निधि 01,110.03 शिक्षा

 लेखा का शीर्ष	<u> </u>	<b>ठ</b> प्रय
	(हज	र रूपए में ⊱
03.00.34	छात्रवृति और वृत्तिकी	1114
03.00.50	अन्य प्रधार	37
	यांग	315)
	<u>याम सिन्स्मा (323(r)</u>	9526

## मुख्य शीर्ष - 4250-सिनेमा कर्मकार कल्याण निधि म्यास्थ्य

माहर यन	183
·- <u></u>	
योग विजेश स्वस्थ्य (४३५०	) 153
कुल वीम 	9679
कृत आय है. 3	06 क्रमेंड्
कुल व्यय सं. ॥ सं. ॥	.०२ करोड् -

## अनुमुची ः॥

## बर्ष 2007-2008 के दौरान मिनेमा कर्मकार कल्याण निधि के

## अधीन वित्त पोरित क्रियाकलाप

 ऋमस्रां	 क्रियफलाभ कः नाम	 इकार्ट
1	2	
_	क, स्वास्थ्य :	
l.	स्थिर-सह चलगंस्थर ए.ग.९४४४ और स्थिर आयुर्वेदिक औषधानम	ì
2.	औषधालय में उपचार फिए गए गीमथां की संख्या	SOURS
<b>3</b> .	केंसर रोग का उपनाः	2
4.	हृदय रोग का उपचार	is
5	किडनी सेंग का उपाय	1
6.	चीर संग	i
<b>R</b> +	चरमों का क्रय	`
8.	प्रसृति प्रसृतिधा स्कोप	5
	ख. सामाजिक सुरक्षाः	
9	युग वीमा स्कोम	Hites

Total Income

Total Expenditure

3.06 crores

0.97 crores

1	2	3
	ग, शिक्षा :	
10.	ृ सिनेमा कर्मकारों के विद्यालय जाने वाले बालकों को छात्रवृति दिया जाना ।	1894
11.	सिनेमा कर्मकारों के विद्यालय जाने वाले बालकों को पुस्तक/युनिफाम का प्रदाय	]44
	[फा. सं. एस-51025/1/08	डब्ल्य, ॥]

अनिल स्वरूप, महानिदेशक ( श्रम कल्याण)/संयुक्त सचिव

## New Delhi, the 30th October, 2008

S.O. 3003.—In pursuance of Section 9 of the Cine Workers Welfare Fund Act, 1981(33 of 1981), the Central Government hereby publishes the statement of accounts and the report of the activities financed under the said Act, for the year 2007-2008 as per Schedule-I and Schedule-II, respectively, as under:

## CINE WORKERS WELFARE FUND SCHEDULE-I STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS

#### INCOME

Opening Balance as on 1-4-2007 Rs. 1.71 crores Cess tansferred during the year Rs 135 crores Total Income Rs. 3.06 crores

## Major Head-2230 01.110-Cine Workers Welfare Fund 01.110.02 - Administration

Head	Units	Expenditure
of A/c		(Rs. in thousand)
02.00.11	Domestic Travel Expenses	120
2.00.13	Office Expenses	94
	Total	214

## Major Head-2230 01.110-Cine Workers Welfare Fund 01.110.04- Health

Head of A/c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
04.00.01	Salaries	3087
04.00.02	Wages	8
04.00.06	Medical Treatment	33
04.00.11	Domestic Travel Expenses	125
04,00.13	Office Expenses	. 230
04.00.14	Rents, Rates and Taxes	58
04.00.21	Material and Supply	964
04.00.50	Other Charges	1656
	Total	6161

## Major Head-2230

## 01.110-Cine Workers Welfare Fund 01,110.03- Education

Head of A/c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
03.00 34	Scholarships and Stipend	3114
03.00.50	Other Charges	37
	Total	3151
	Total Cine (2230)	9526
Majo	r Head-4250- Cine Workers Health	Welfare Fund
Motor Ve	hicle	153
Total CINE Health (4250)		153
Grand Total		9679
	··	

#### SCHEDULE-III

## ACTIVITIES FINANCED UNDER THE CINE-WORKERS WELFARE FUND DURING THE YEAR 2007-08

Serial No.	Name of the Activity	Units
A. Hea	lth.	
1.	Static-cum-Mobile/Static Allopathic and Static Ayurvedic Dispensaries	3
2.	Number of patients Treated in dispensaries	86038
3.	Treatment of cancer	2
4.	Treatment for Heart disease	18
5.	Treatment for Kidney disease	1
6.	Minor Diseases	ŧ
7.	Purchase of Spectacles	5
8.	Maternity Benefit scheme	5
B.SOC	IALSECURITY:	
9.	Group Insurance Scheme	11168
C. EDU	CATION:	
10.	Award of Scholarships to the school going children of Cine Workers	1894
11.	Supply of Book/ Uniform to the school going children of Cine Workers	44

ANIL SWARUP, Director General (Labour Welfare) Jt. Seey.

[F. No. S-51025 T/08-W.II]

ਜਵੰ	ਦਿਨਜੀ	to.	अक्तूबर,	2009
75	Harrini.	40	अक्तभार.	20176

का, आ. 3004,- कंन्द्रीय सरकार, चूना पत्थर ऑर इंग्लंगडर खान श्रम कल्याण निधि अधिनियम, 1972 (1972 का 62) की पार 10 के अनुसरण में वर्ष 2007-2008 के लिए उक्त आंधिन्यम के अधीन लेखाओं का विकास और वित परिधन क्रियाकलायों को दिसंदें, अनुसूची-1 और अनुसूची-2 के अनुसार क्रमशः निम्न प्रकार से प्रकाशित करती हैं :-

## अनुसूची -1

## चूना पत्थर और डोलोमाइट खान श्रम कल्याण निधि के लेखाओं का विवरण आय

1-4-2007 को ऑर्रांभक ब्रकाया	ह, 53.6% करोड़
<b>वर्ष के</b> दौरान अंतरित उपकर	क्त 22.56 व्यरीह
कुल आय	र, १५,४७ करोड्

## व्यय मृख्य शीर्ष - 2230

## 01.107 घूना पत्थर और डोलोमाइट खान श्रप कल्याण दिशि 01.107.01- प्रशासन

लेखा का शीर्ष	इक्ताई	
	<u>्।</u> हट	स्र रूपण्टः
01.00.01	वेतन	11750
01.00.02	मजदूरी	142
01.00.03	अतिकाल भन्ता	45
01.00.06	चिकित्सीय उपचार	205
01.00.11	घरेलु बात्रा ल्यव	λίψ
01.00.13	कार्यालय व्यव	- 2640
01.00-14	किराया, दर आंग्र कर	421
01.00.16	प्रकाशन	14
01.00.28	वृत्तिक सेवाएं	16
	योग	.5861
	राज्या महीर्य २३३०	

## मुख्य शीर्ष - 2230

## 01,107 चूना पत्थर और डोलोमाइट खान श्रम कल्याण निधि 01,107,02- स्वास्थ्य

——— ··—— - लेखा का शीर्ष	डकाई	
		(हजार स्थम में)
1	2	
02.00.01	वंतन	2 - 542
02.00.02	मजदूरी	565
02.00.03	अतिकाल भन्न	10
02.00.06	चिकित्सीय अपनाः	[88]
02.00.11	घरेल्, यात्रा व्यव	386

i	2	1
02,00.13	য়াগালম ক্রমণ	::-:
02.00.14	विराया, दर और कर	470
92,00.21	मानके और प्रवाध	4300
02,00,27	लघ् कार्य	30
02.00.31	महाभाग अनुदान	(135)
02.00.50	अस्य प्रधार	553
	-a'ti	42350

## मुख्य शीर्ष 2230

## 01,107 चूना पत्थर और डांलोमाइट खान श्रम कल्याण विधि 01,107,06 शिक्षा

 लेखाकाशीर्ष		— <u></u> - ভাষ
·	্রন	र रूपस्य में
96.02.01	पं <i>न</i>	158
06x02.11	धरहा राम अस	5
06.02.21	सामग्री और प्रदाय	1142
06.02.26	विभाषत और प्रचार	7
96.02.31	सहायतः अनुदान	1901
96.02.34	रूपपृथि और वृत्तिका	11212
06 02:50	अस्य <b>प्रभा</b> र	471
	ন্ম	[4896

## मुख्य शीर्य 2230

## 01.107 चूना पत्थर और डोलोमाइट खान श्रम कल्याण निधि 01.107.03- मनोर्रजन

 लेखा का शीर्ष		 न्ध्य
	<del>-</del> <del></del> .	(हाजार ध्रमणुष्टे )
1	_ =	3
03.00.01	-782	2579
03,00.03	श्रीएकाल भक	
03.00.06	िशंकतमाच (प्रचार	34
03.00.11	धानु पाण व्यव	114
03.00.13	श्रार्थालय स्थ्य	94
05.00.14	शिमका धर और कर	[is]
03.00.21	, सम्बद्धे और पदाय <u>ः</u>	

Į.	2	3
	ह जलप्रदाय	
23.	लघु खानों को सहायता	I
24	बड़ी खार्ता की भहायता	
	िक्त संस्ता १००० र १००	5 U. L

[फा. सं. एस-50025/4/08 /डब्ल्यु. (( |

अनिल स्वरूप, पहानिदेशक (श्रम कल्याण)/मंयुक्त रुचिव

New Delhi, the 30th October, 2008

**S.O.** 3064. In pursuance of Section 10 of the Limestone and Difformine Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1972 (62 of 1970), the Central Government hereby publishes the statement of accounts and the report of the activities financed under the said Act, for the year 2007-08 as per Schedule -I and Schedule-II, respectively, as under:

#### SCHEDULE-L

## STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS OF THE LIMILST ONE AND DOLOMITE MINES LABOUR WELFARF FUND

## INCOME

Opening Balance as on 1-4-2007	Rs. 53.61 crores
Cess tansferred during the year	Rs. 22.56 groups
Total Income	Rs. 76.17 profes

## EXPENDITURE

## Major Head-2230

## 01,107-Limestone and Dolomite Mines Labour Weffare Fund

## 01.107.01- Administration

Head of A/c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
1	3	3
01.00.01	Salaries	11730
01.00.02	Wages	1:5
01.00.03	Overtime Allowance	45
01.00.06	Medical Treatment	20.5
01.00,11	Domestic Travel Expenses	(4,3)
01.00.13	Office Uspenses	2649
01.00.14	Rents, Rates and Taxes	421
01.00.16	Publication	-11
01.00.28	Professional Services	10
	Total	15861

## Major Head-2230

## 01.107-Limestone and Dolomite Mines Labour Welfare Fund

## 01,107.02- Health

Head of A∕c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
02.00.01	Salaries	23542
02.00.02	Wages	365
02.00.03	Overtime Allowance	13
02.00.06	Medical Treatment	188
02.00.11	Domestic Travel Expenses	386
02.00.13	Office Expenses	1172
02.00.14	Rents, Rates and Taxes	470
02,00,21	Material and Supply	13(3)
02.00 27	Minor Work	30)
02.00.51	Grants-in-aid	11351
02.00.50	Other Charges	583
	Total	42359

## Major Head-2230

## 01.107-Limestone and Dolmmite Mines Labour Welfare Fund

## 01.107.66- Education

Head of A/c	Units (R)	Expenditure on thousand)
06 02.01	Salaries	158
06.02.11	Domostic Trace! Expenses	5
06.02.21	Material and Supply	1142
06.02.26	Advertisement and Publicity	7
06.02.31	Grouts-in-aid	· 1901
06.02.34	Scholarships and Stiperd	11313
06.02.50	Other Charges	171
	Total	1-1896

## Major Head-2230

## 01.107-Limestone and Dolomite Mines Labour Welfare Fund

## 01,107.03- Recreation

Head of A/c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
l	2	3
03.00.01	Salaries	2570
03.00 03	Ovetime Allowance	IJ
03.00.06	Medical Treatment	34

[भाग   — ——ः	खण्ड 3(ii)] भारत	ा का राजपत्र : नेवस्बर ——————————	1, 2008/কা	र्तिक (0, 1930	6023
1	2	3		SCHEDULE-II	
03.00.11	Domestic Travel Expenses	114	ACTI	VITIES FINANCED UNDER THE LIMES	STONE
03.00.13	Office Expenses	95	AN	D DOLOMITE MINES LABOUR WELF FUND DURING THE YEAR 2007-08	FARE
03.00.14	Rents, Rates and Taxes	161	——— Serial	Name of the Activity	Vinits
03.00.21	Material and Supply	184	No.	Name of the Activity	Cinns
03.00.27	Minor Work	50	l	2	
03.00.31	Grants-in-aid	1425		A. HEALTH;	
03.00.50	Other Charges	365	ι.	Static-cum-Mobile/Static Allopathic	30
	Total	5009		and Statice Ayurvedic Dispensaries	,,_
	Major Head-2230		2.	Patients treated in Dispensaries/ Hospitals	405795
01.107-L	imestone and Dolomite Mines L Fund	abour Welfare	3.	Domiciliary Treatment of Limestone and Dolomite Mines workers suffering from Tuberculosis	1
—- Head	01.107.04- Housing Units	Expenditure	4.	Treatment of Limestone and Dolomite Mines Workers suffering from Cancer.	I
of Accou	nts	•	5.	Treatment for mental diseases	
		ks. in thousand)	6.	Purchase of Spectacles	286
04.01.01	Salaries	932	7.	Maternity Benefit Scheme for Female	ı
04.02.31	Low Cost Housing Scheme	200		Limestone and Dolomite Mines Workers	
04.03.31	BYOHS- Grants-in-aid	2005	٥		
	Total	3137	8.	Payment of Monetary Compensation for Sterilisation to Limestone and	'
	Total (2230)	81262		Dolomite Mines Workers.	
Major	Head-4250-Limestone and Dol	omite Mines	9.	Procurement of ambulance van	I
	Labour Welfare Fund		10.	Treatment for Heart Disease	3
_	Health		11.	Treatment for Kidney Disease	I
Motor Vel	hicle	744	12.	Finanial assistance for Funeral	8
Machinery	y and Equipment	5		B. Housing:	
Total		749	13.	Number of houses sanctioned under Build Your Own House Scheme, Group	262
Major	Head-4250-Limestone and Dole Labour Welfare Fund	omite Mines		Housing Scheme, Economically Weaker Section Scheme Type-I and II Housing Scheme.	
	Recreation			C. Education:	
Motor Vel	hicle	21	14		3004
Total		21	14.	Award of Scholarship and other financial assistance to the school	<b>7084</b> 52
Total (425)	0)	770		going children of Limestone and Dolomite Mines Workers	
Grand Tot	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	82032	15.	Supply of uniform/text books	6113
Total Inco	me I	Rs. 76.17 crores	1 <b>6</b> .	Grant for school bus	5
			17.	Grant for libraries	ļ
Total Expe	enditure	Rs. 8.20 crores			<u>·</u>

1	2	3
	D. Recreation ;	
18.	Exhibition of films for Limestone and Dolomite Mines workers through Audio-visual Sets/Cinema Vans.	24
19.	Organizing sports, games, social and cultural activities for Limestone and Dolomite Mines Workers,	41
20.	Bus for transportation	3
21.	TV Sets	2
22	Purchase of Sports Goods	8
	E. Water Supply	
23.	Assistance to small mines	l
24.	Assistance to big mines	t

[F. No. S-50025/4/08-W.II]

ANIL SWARUP, Director General (Labour Welfare)/
Jt. Secy.

## नई दिल्ली, 30 अक्तूबर, 2008

कर, आ. 3005.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, अप्रक खान अप कस्थाण निधि अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का 22) की धारा 3 की वर्षधारा (4) के अनुसरण में वर्ष 2007-2008 के लिए उक्त अधि नियम के अधीन लेखाओं का विकरण और वित्त पोषित क्रियाकलायों की विपेट, अनुसूची-) और अनुसूची-2 के अनुसार क्रमश: निम्न ककार से प्रकारित करती है :-

## अनुसूची: -1

अभक्ष ख़ान श्रम कल्याण निधि के लेखाओं का विवरण आय

1-4-2007 को आर्राधक बकाया	र. 3.70करोड़
वर्ग के दौरान अंतरित उपकर	रु. ३.५) करोड्
कुल श्राप	रु. ७,२। करोड्

## व्यय मुख्य शीर्ष - 2230

## 01.105 अध्वक खान अमं कल्याण निधि 01.105.01- प्रशासन

संबद्धा का शीर्व	इकाई	स्यय (हजार रूपए में)
1 .	2	3
01.00,01	वेतन	2098
61.00.02	मजदूरी	41
01.00.06	विकित्सीय उपचार	20

ι	2	3
01.00.11	घरंलू यात्रा व्यय	
01.00.13	कार्यालय व्यय	,50
01.00.27	লঘু কাৰ্য	0
01.00.28	वृत्तिक संवाएं	0
01.00.50	ं अन्य प्रभार	i
	यांग	2260

## पुख्य शीर्ष - 2230

## 01,105 अभ्रक खान श्रम कल्याण निधि 01,105,02- स्वास्थ्य

लेखाकाशीर्ष	इकाई	<u>च्य</u> य
	(हज	ार रुपए में)
1	2	
02.00.01	वंतन	5712
02-00.02	मंजदूरी	25
92.00.06	चिकित्सीय उपचार	139
02.00.11	घरेल् यात्रा व्यय	52
02.00.13	कार्यालय व्यय	33
02.00.14	किराया, दर और कर	(i
02.00.21	सामग्री और प्रदाय	833
	<u>थोग</u> 	6858

मुख्य शीर्ष - 2230

## 01,105 अञ्चल खान श्रम कल्याण निधि 01,105,03- शिक्षा

लेखा का शोर्ष	इकाई	च्यव
	· (हज	ार रुपण् में )
03.00.01	वंतन	2401
03.00.02	मजदूरो	1)
03.00.06	चिकित्सीय उपनार	11
03.00.11	घरेलू यात्रा व्यय	75
03.00.13	कार्यालय क्यय	?
03.00.21	सामग्री और प्रदाय	0
03.00-27	लघु कार्य	0
03.00.31	सहायता अनुदान	!i
03.00.34	द्यात्रवृत्ति और वृत्तिका	84
03.00.50	अन्ये प्रभार	;
•	योग	2568

## मुख्य शीर्ष - 2230 🕐

## 01.105 अभ्रक खान भ्रम कल्याण निधिः 01.105.04- मनोरंजन

इकाई	<u>च्यय</u>
	(हजार रुपए में) 
- चेतन	455
चिकित्सीय उपचार	11
घरेलू यात्रा व्यय	4
सामग्री और प्रदाय	0
अन्य प्रभार	2
योग मनोरंजन	470
कुल योग अध्रक	12156
	<ul> <li>चेतन</li> <li>चिकित्सीय उपचार</li> <li>घरेलू यात्रा व्यय</li> <li>सामग्री और प्रदाव</li> <li>अन्य प्रभार</li> <li>योग मनोरंजन</li> </ul>

अनुमुबी -॥

कुल व्यय

## वर्ष 2007-2008 के दौरान अध्वक खान श्रेम कल्याण निधि के अधीन वित्तपोषित क्रियाकलाप

रु. 1. 22करोड

क्रम सं.	क्रियाकलाप का नाम	इकाइयां
1	2	3
	क, स्वास्थ्य :	
I.	स्थिर-सह-चल/स्थिर एलोपैधिक और स्थिर आयुर्वेदिक औषधालय	08
2.	अग्रक खान कर्मकारों के लिए अस्पताल	01
3.	रोगी जिनका-अस्पतालों/औषधालायों में उपचार किया गया	38864
	ख शिक्षा :	
4.	अप्रक खान कर्मकारों के विद्यालय जाने वाले बालकों को छात्रवृत्ति दिया जाना	104
5.	अप्रक खान कर्मकारों के विद्यालय जाने वाले बालकों को किताब/वर्दी की आपूर्ति	3
		 इब्ल्यू. ][]

अनिल स्वरूप, **पहानिदेशक** (श्रम कल्याण)/संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th October, 2008

S.O. 3005.—In pursuance of sub-Section 4 of Section 3 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act. 1946 (22 of 1946), the Central Government hereby publishes the statement of accounts and the report of the activities financed under the said Act, for the year 2007-08 as per Schedule I and Schedule-II, respectively, as under:

#### SCHEDULE-I

# STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS OF THE MICA MINES LABOUR WELFARE FOND

#### INCOME

Opening Balance as on 1-4-2007	Rs 3.70 crores
Cess transferred during the year	Rs 3.51 crores
Total Income	Rs. 7.21 crores

#### EXPENDITURE

## Major Head-2230

## 01.105-Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund 01.105.01- Administration

Head of A c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
01.00.01	Salaries	2098
01.00.02	Wages	41
01.00.06	Medical Treatment	20
01.00.11	Domestic Travel Expenses	41
01.00.13	Office Expenses	59
01,00,27	Minor Work	0
01.00.28	Professional services	0
01.00.50	Other Charges	1
	Total	3260

## Major Head-2230

## 01.105-Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund 01.105.02- Health

Head of A/c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)
<u> </u>	2	
02.00 01	Salaries	5712
02.00 02	Wages	25
02.00.06	Medical Treatment	139
11,00.20	Domestic Travel Expenses	52
02.00.13	Office Expenses	97
02 00.14	Rents, Rates and Taxes	0
02.00.21	Material and Supply	833
	Total	6858

Major Head-2230		
01.105-Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund		
01,105.03- Education		

Head of A/¢	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)	
1	2		
03.00.01	Salaries	2401	
03.00.02	Wages	0	
03.00.06	Medical Treatment	0	
03,00.11	Doniestic Travel Expenses	75	
03.00.13	Office Expenses	7	
03,00.21	Material and Supply	0	
03.00.27	Minor Work	o	
03.00.31	Grants-in-aid	Ü	
03.00.34	Scholarships and Stipend	84	
03.00.50	Other Charges	<u>.l</u>	
,	Total	2568	

## Major Head-2230

## 01.105-Mica Mines Labour Wetfare Fund

## 01.105.04 - Recreation

Head of A/c	Units	Expenditure (Rs. in thousand)	
1	2		
04.00.01	Salaries	455	
04.00.06	Medical Treatment		

ı	2	3
04,00.11	Domestic Travel Expenses	.+
04.00.21	Material and Supply	4)
04.00.50	Other Charges	2
	Total Recreation	470
	Grand Total MICA	12156
Total Income		Rs. 7.21 crores
Total Expenditure		Rs. 1.22 prores
	ecuryuru u	

#### SCHEDULE-II

## ACTIVITIES FINANCED UNDER THE MICA MINES LABOUR WELFARE FUND DURING THE YEAR 2007-08

Name of the Activity	Units
A. HEALTH:	
Static-cum-Mobile Static Allopathic and Static Ayurvedic Dispensaries	08
Hospitals for the Mica Mines workers.	Ol
Patients treated in Hospitals Dispensaries	38864
B. Education :	
Award of Scholarship to the school going children of Mica Mines Workers	104
Supply of Book Uniform to the school going children of Mica Mines Workers	3
	A. HEALTH: Static-cum-Mobile Static Allopathic and Static Ayurvedic Dispensaries Hospitals for the Mica Mines workers. Patients treated in Hospitals Dispensaries B. Education: Award of Scholarship to the school going children of Mica Mines Workers Supply of Book Uniform to the school

(F. No. S-22025 T 08-W/III]

ANIL SWARUP, Director General (Labour Welfare) h. Secy